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Dear Community,
Community Assessments provide organizations across the county with statistical information that allows for tracking and trending of key indicators within a specific community. Wright County Community Action (WCCA) strategically completes a full assessment every three years with a specific focus on the conditions of poverty in Wright County and the 18 western Hennepin communities we serve. We do this by surveying participants in our programs, the community at large, reviewing statistical data, and hosting conversations that allow us to inspect issues from every angle.

At WCCA, we understand that a community can change dramatically in three years. For this reason, we commit to making annual updates to our assessment data. While we usually will not conduct a community-wide survey, or hold in-depth conversations, we will update the statistical information and report any significant shifts that have happened throughout the community.

The 2019 Annual Update came with a unique set of challenges. As one of the fastest growing counties in Minnesota, estimates show our population increasing by nearly 12,000 people (9.3\%). This large growth makes the detailed estimates difficult to calculate. The smaller or more rural communities are even more difficult, specifically the small cities who have seen significant growth. One of the tools that the US Census Bureau uses to gain insight into communities is the American Community Survey (ACS). The ACS is the only place for comparable local data and provides organizations with data to determine where and how to distribute funding. In December 2018, the US Census Bureau released the 2017 ACS results. These results show significant shifts from the 2016 ACS Results. We use the ACS survey to determine very detailed profiles of the community. An example of what we look for is the number of children living in poverty between the ages of zero and six and narrowing down by zip code. As these data elements zoom closer into the topic, the margin of error begins to climb.

While you review the data illustrated in the following pages, we ask that you consider the margin of error. The 2017 ACS does not align with our boots-on-the-ground experience. Therefore, some of the results are not an accurate representation of our community. For that reason, we have included our 2017 agency demographics.

Thank you for taking the time to read our 2019 Assessment Update. We hope this information can help you identify goals for your community and inspire you to take action to fight poverty.

Sincerely,


Jay Weatherford
Executive Director


Rebecca Rydbom
Head Start Program Director

Helping People. Changing Lives. Since 1965.

Served is Yes
Program activity date is between 01/01/2017 and 12/31/2017

## Module 4, Section C: All Characteristics Report - Data Entry Form

Goal 1: Individuals and Families with low-incomes are stable and achieve economic security
Wright County Community Action
Name of CSBG Eligible Entity Reporting: Wright County Community Action

| A. Total unduplicated number of INDIVIDUALS about whom one or more characteristics were obtained | 10581 |
| :--- | :--- |
| B. Total unduplicated number of HOUSEHOLDS about whom one or more characteristics were obtained | 3760 |

## C. INDIVIDUAL LEVEL CHARACTERISTICS

| 1. Gender | Number of Individuals |
| :--- | ---: |
| a. Male | 4502 |
| b. Female | 5687 |
| c. Other | 0 |
| d. Unknown/not reported | 390 |
| $\quad$ TOTAL (auto calculated) | 10579 |
|  |  |
| 2. Age | Number of Individuals |
| a. 0 to 5 | 1991 |
| b. 6 to 13 | 1873 |
| c. 14 to 17 | 690 |
| d. 18 to 24 | 920 |
| e. 25 to 44 | 2816 |
| f. 45 to 54 | 717 |
| g. 55 to 59 | 427 |
| h. 60 to 64 | 358 |
| i. 65 to 74 | 415 |
| j. $75+$ | 374 |
| k. Unknown/not reported | 0 |
| TOTAL (auto calculated) | 10581 |


| 3. Education Levels | Number of Individuals |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | (ages 14-24) | ages $25+$ |
| a. Grades 0-8 | 569 | 227 |
| b. Grades 9-12/non-graduate | 479 | 496 |
| c. High school grad/Equivalency Diploma | 307 | 2353 |
| d. 12 grade + Some Post-Secondary | 52 | 509 |
| e. 2 or 4 year College Graduate | 26 | 822 |
| f. Graduate or other post-secondary school | 1 | 123 |
| g. Unknown/not reported | 136 | 576 |
| TOTAL (auto calculated) | 1570 | 5106 |

4. Disconnected Youth Number of Individuals
a. Youth ages 14-24 who are neither working nor in school ..... 24
5. Ethnicity/Race

Number of Individuals
I. Ethnicity
a. Hispanic, Latino, Spanish Origins ..... 841
b. Not Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish Origins ..... 8824
c. Unknown/not reported ..... 448
TOTAL (auto calculated) ..... 10113
ii. Race
a. American Indian or Alaskan Native ..... 95
b. Asian ..... 168
c. Black or African American ..... 591
d. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander ..... 21
e. White ..... 8607
f. Other ..... 255
g. Multi-race (two or more of the above) ..... 356
c. Unknown/not reported ..... 488
TOTAL (auto calculated)Number of Individuals
7. Military Status
a. Veteran ..... 24
b. Active Military ..... 8
c. Unknown/not reported ..... 2508
TOTAL (auto calculated)
TOTAL (auto calculated) ..... 2540 ..... 2540
8. Work Status Individuals 18+
a. Employed Full-Time ..... 661
b. Employed Part-Time ..... 486
c. Migrant Seasonal Farm Worker ..... 110
d. Unemployed (Short-Term, 6 months or less) ..... 96
e. Unemployed (Long-Term, more than 6 months) ..... 101
f. Unemployed (Not in Labor Force) ..... 264
g. Retired ..... 208
h. Unknown/not reported ..... 490
TOTAL (auto calculated) ..... 2416

| 5. Health | Number of Individuals |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Yes | No | Unknown |
| a. Disabling Condition | 1211 | 8961 | 409 |
|  | Yes | No | Unknown |
| b. Health insurance* | 3094 | 177 | 1481 |

*If an individual reported that they had Health Insurance please identify the source of health insurance below.

Health Insurance Sources

| i. Medicaid | 2497 |
| :--- | ---: |
| ii. Medicare | 148 |
| iii. State Children's Health Insurance Program | 85 |
| iv. State Health Insurance for Adults | 16 |
| v. Military Health Care | 5 |
| vi. Direct-Purchase | 176 |
| vii. Employment Based | 167 |
| viii. Unknown/not reported | 1481 |
| $\quad$ TOTAL (auto calculated) | 4575 |

## Module 4, Section C: All Characteristics Report - Data Entry Form

Goal 1: Individuals and Families with low-incomes are stable and achieve economic security
Name of CSBG Eligible Entity Reporting: Wright County Community Action

## D. HOUSEHOLD LEVEL CHARACTERISTICS

| 9. Household type | Number of households |
| :--- | ---: |
| a. Single Person | 967 |
| b. Two Adults NO Children | 236 |
| c. Single Parent Female | 574 |
| d. Single Parent Male | 55 |
| e. Two Parent Household | 719 |
| f. Non-related Adults with Children | 5 |
| g. Multigenerational Household | 12 |
| h. Other | 21 |
| i. Unknown/not reported | 596 |
| TOTAL (auto calculated) | 3185 |
| 10. Household size |  |
| a. Single Person | 1073 |
| b. Two | 1034 |
| c. Three | 636 |
| d. Four | 467 |
| e. Five | 266 |
| f. Six or more | 283 |
| g. Unknown/not reported | 0 |
| $\quad$ TOTAL (auto calculated) | 3759 |
| 11. Housing |  |
| a. Own | Number of households |
| b. Rent | 1497 |
| c. Other permanent housing | 1752 |
| d. Homeless | 54 |
| e. Other | 15 |
| f. Unknown/not reported | 151 |
| $\quad$ TOTAL (auto calculated) | 259 |


| 12. Level of Household Incomes | Number of households |
| :--- | :---: |
| (\% of HHS Guideline) |  |
| a. Up to $50 \%$ | 1338 |
| b. $51 \%$ to $75 \%$ | 341 |
| c. $76 \%$ to $100 \%$ | 436 |
| d. $101 \%$ to $125 \%$ | 436 |
| e. $126 \%$ to $150 \%$ | 389 |
| f. $151 \%$ to $175 \%$ | 318 |
| g. $176 \%$ to $200 \%$ | 218 |
| h. $201 \%$ to $250 \%$ | 132 |
| i. $250 \%$ and over | 152 |
| j. Unknown/not reported |  |
| TOTAL (auto calculated) | 3760 |


| 13. Sources of Household Income | Number of households |
| :--- | ---: |
| a. Income from Employment Only | 394 |
| b. Income from Employment and Other Income Source | 122 |
| c. Income from Employment, Other Income Source, | 20 |
| and Non-Cash Benefits |  |
| d. Income from Employment and Non-Cash Benefits | 80 |
| e. Other Income Source Only | 1,019 |
| f. Other Income Source and Non-Cash Benefits | 133 |
| g. No income | 48 |
| h. Non-Cash Benefits Only | 101 |
| i. Unknown/not reported | 241 |
| $\quad$ TOTAL (auto calculated) | 2158 |

Below, please report the types of Other income and/or non-cash benefits received by the households who reported sources other than employment

| 14. Other Income Source | Number of Households |
| :--- | ---: |
| a. TANF | 15 |
| b. Supplemental Security Income (SSI) | 91 |
| c. Social Security Disability Income (SSDI) | 64 |
| d. VA Service-Connected Disability Compensation | 0 |
| e. VA Non-Service Connected Disability Pension | 6 |
| f. Private Disability Insurance | 0 |
| g. Worker's Compensation | 0 |
| h. Retirement Income from Social Security | 111 |
| i. Pension | 58 |
| j. Child support | 23 |
| k. Alimony or other Spousal Support | 30 |
| l. Unemployment insurance | 22 |
| m. EITC | 0 |
| n. Other | 1933 |
| o. Unknown/not reported | 0 |


| 15. Non-Cash Benefits | Number of Households |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| a. SNAP | 170 |
| b. WIC | 795 |
| c. LIHEAP | 77 |
| d. Housing Choice Voucher | 0 |
| e. Public Housing | 8 |
| f. Permanent Supportive Housing | 0 |
| g. HUD-VASH | 9 |
| h. Childcare Voucher | 3 |
| i. Affordable Care Act Subsidy | 0 |
| j. Other | 22 |
| k. Unknown/not reported | 354 |

E. Number of Individuals Not Included in the Totals Below
(due to data collection system integration barriers)

1. Please list the unduplicated number of INDIVIDUALS served in each program*:

Program Name
Number of Individuals
F. Number of Households Not Included in the Totals Above
(due to data collection system integration barriers)

1. Please list the unduplicated number of HOUSEHOLDS served in each program* $\quad$| Program Name | Number of Individuals |
| :--- | :---: |

## Q Search

## Assessment Tool

## Community Action Partnership Report

## Location

Wright County, MN
Population Profile

## Population Change

Population change within the report area from 2000-2017 is shown below. During the sixteen-year period, total population estimates for the report area grew by 45.72 percent, increasing from 89,986 persons in 2000 to 131,130 persons in 2017.

| Report Area | Total Population, <br> 2017 ACS | Total Population, <br> 2000 Census | Population Change from 2000-2017 <br> Census/ACs | Percent Change from 2000-2017 <br> Census/ACS |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wright County, <br> MN | 131,130 | 89,986 |  | 41,144 |

Note: This indicator is compared to the state average.
Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. US Census Bureau, Decennial Census. 2013-17. Source geography: County $\rightarrow$ Show more details

Percent Change in Population


Wright County (45.72\%)

- Minnesota (11.61\%)

United States (14.07\%)

$\checkmark$ View larger map

Population, Density (Persons per Sq Mile) by Tract, ACS 2013-17
$\square$ Over 5,000
$1,001-5,000$
$501-1,000$
$51-500$
Under 51
$\square$ No Data or Data Suppressed
$\square$ Wright County, MN


## Age and Gender Demographics

Population by gender within the report area is shown below. According to ACS 2013-20175 year population estimates for the report area, the female population comprised $49.88 \%$ of the report area, while the male population represented $50.12 \%$.

| Report Area | 0 to 4 <br> Male | 0 to 4 <br> Female | 5 to 17 <br> Male | 5 to 17 <br> Female | 18 to 64 <br> Male | 18 to 64 <br> Female | Over 64 <br> Male | Over 64 <br> Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 4,890 | 4,619 | 14,359 | 13,631 | 39,831 | 38,781 | 6,305 | 8,053 |
| Minnesota | 179,100 | 170,862 | 478,527 | 457,849 | 1,713,560 | 1,687,110 | 320,613 | 443,074 |
| United States | 10,151,822 | 9,701,693 | 27,458,617 | 26,289,147 | 99,353,006 | 100,317,733 | 18,945,773 | 26,677,081 |

Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2013-17. Source geography: County $\rightarrow$ Show more details

$\checkmark$ View larger map

## Median Age by Tract, ACS 2013-17

Over 45.0
T 40.1-45.035.1-40.0Under 35.1
No Data or Data SuppressedWright County, MN

Age and Gender Demographics
Wright County, MN


Adult Ages (18-65)

| Report Area | 18 to 24 <br> Male | 18 to 24 <br> Female | $\begin{gathered} 25 \text { to } 34 \\ \text { Male } \end{gathered}$ | 25 to 34 <br> Female | 35 to 44 <br> Male | 35 to 44 <br> Female | 45 to 54 <br> Male | 45 to 54 <br> Female | $\begin{gathered} 55 \text { to } 64 \\ \text { Male } \end{gathered}$ | 55 to 64 <br> Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 5,002 | 4,517 | 7,994 | 8,213 | 9,411 | 9,426 | 9,899 | 9,208 | 7,525 | 7,417 |
| Minnesota | 257,313 | 248,470 | 381,131 | 368,266 | 343,301 | 334,298 | 372,322 | 370,282 | 359,493 | 365,794 |
| United States | 15,968,535 | 15,162,949 | 22,256,013 | 21,788,160 | 20,243,714 | 20,412,705 | 21,240,123 | 21,851,020 | 19,644,621 | 21,102,899 |



Hispanic Ages (Male and Female Combined)

| Report Area | 0 to 4 | 5 to 17 | 18 to 24 | 25 to 34 | 35 to 44 | 45 to 54 | 55 to 64 | Over 65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 359 | 1,142 | 477 | 500 | 665 | 190 | 241 | 58 |
| Minnesota | 31,448 | 79,985 | 34,379 | 46,906 | 42,058 | 27,015 | 14,363 | 8,495 |
| United States | 5,134,740 | 13,034,122 | 6,665,654 | 9,002,730 | 8,160,321 | 6,491,314 | 4,267,131 | 3,754,559 |

Hispanic Ages (Male and Female Combined)
Wright County, MN


## Race Demographics

Population by gender within the report area is shown below. According to ACS 2013-20175 year population estimates, the white population comprised $95.53 \%$ of the report area, black population represented $1.43 \%$, and other races combined were $3.04 \%$. Persons identifying themselves as mixed race made up $1.45 \%$ of the population.

| Report Area | White <br> Total | Black <br> Total | American Indian Total | Asian <br> Total | Native <br> Hawaiian <br> Total | Mixed Race Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 124,169 | 1,855 | 538 | 1,520 | 9 | 1,885 |
| Minnesota | 4,598,252 | 326,953 | 57,566 | 255,935 | 1,976 | 154,444 |
| United States | 234,370,202 | 40,610,815 | 2,632,102 | 17,186,320 | 570,116 | 10,081,044 |

Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2013-17. Source geography: County $\rightarrow$ Show more details

$\checkmark$ View larger map

Population, Minority (Non-White), Percent by Tract, ACS 2013-17

Over 10.0\%
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No Data or Data SuppressedWright County, MN


## Race Demographics

Wright County, MN


Race Demographics - Male

| Report Area | White <br> Male | Black <br> Male | American Indian Male | Asian <br> Total | Native <br> Hawaiian <br> Male | Mixed <br> Race <br> Male |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 62,447 | 1,084 | 306 | 627 | 9 | 948 |
| Minnesota | 2,282,140 | 167,148 | 29,339 | 124,095 | 1,138 | 76,987 |
| United States | 115,855,404 | 19,400,543 | 1,305,353 | 8,155,022 | 283,824 | 5,028,197 |

Ethnicity Demographics - Male

| Report Area | Total Males Hispanic / Latino | Total Males Not Hispanic / Latino | Percent Males Hispanic / Latino | Percent Males Not Hispanic / Latino |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wright County, MN | 1,798 | 64,248 | $2.72 \%$ |  |
| Minnesota | 147,629 | $9,584,202$ |  |  |
| United States | $28,563,644$ | $5.4 \%$ |  |  |



Race Demographics - Female

| Report Area | White <br> Female | Black <br> Female | American Indian Female | Asian <br> Female | Native <br> Hawaiian <br> Female | Mixed <br> Race <br> Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 61,722 | 771 | 232 | 893 | 0 | 937 |
| Minnesota | 2,316,112 | 159,805 | 28,227 | 131,840 | 838 | 77,457 |
| United States | 118,514,798 | 21,210,272 | 1,326,749 | 9,031,298 | 286,292 | 5,052,847 |

Ethnicity Demographics - Female

| Report Area | Total Females Hispanic / Latino | Total Females Not Hispanic / Latino | Percent Females Hispanic / Latino | Percent Females Not Hispanic / Latino |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wright County, MN | 1,834 | 63,250 | $2.82 \%$ |  |
| Minnesota | 137,020 | $2,621,875$ | $97.18 \%$ |  |
| United States | $27,946,927$ | $135,038,727$ | $4.97 \%$ |  |



## Veterans, Age and Gender Demographics

Veterans, Age and Gender Demographics show the number of veterans living in the report area. According to the American Community Survey (ACS), $7.4 \%$ of the adult population in the report area are veterans, which is less than the national average of $7.69 \%$.

| Report Area | Veterans <br> Total | Veterans <br> Male | Veterans <br> Female | \% Pop over 18 Total | \% Pop over 18 Males | \% Pop over 18 <br> Females |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 6,925 | 6,552 | 373 | 7.4\% | 14.01\% | 0.8\% |
| Minnesota | 319,438 | 299,992 | 19,446 | 7.6\% | 14.48\% | 0.91\% |
| United States | 18,939,219 | 17,351,288 | 1,587,931 | 7.69\% | 14.52\% | 1.25\% |

Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2013-17. Source geography: County $\rightarrow$ Show more details

$\checkmark$ View larger map

[^0]Over 13\%11.1-13.0\%9.1-11.0\%

Under 9.1\%No Data or Data SuppressedWright County, MN

Veterans by Age

| Report Area | Veteran Age <br> Males <br> 18-34 | Veteran Age <br> Females <br> 18-34 | Veteran Age <br> Males <br> 35-54 | Veteran Age <br> Females 35-54 | Veteran Age <br> Males <br> 55-64 | Veteran Age Females 55-64 | Veteran Age <br> Males <br> Over 65 | Veteran Age <br> Females <br> Over 65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 442 | 46 | 1,295 | 171 | 1,394 | 135 | 3,421 | 21 |
| Minnesota | 18,906 | 3,414 | 55,099 | 7,261 | 57,108 | 4,507 | 168,879 | 4,264 |
| United States | 1,339,788 | 300,568 | 3,849,326 | 660,553 | 3,178,575 | 338,939 | 8,983,599 | 287,871 |

Veterans by Age
Wright County, MN


## Poverty

2017 poverty estimates show a total of 7,204 persons living below the poverty level in the report area. Poverty information is at $100 \%$ of the federal poverty income guidelines

| Report Area | All Ages <br> No of Persons | All Ages <br> Poverty Rate | Age 0-17 <br> No of Persons | Age 0-17 <br> Poverty Rate | Age 5-17 <br> No of Persons | Age 5-17 <br> Poverty Rate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wright County, MN | 7,204 | $5.4 \%$ | 1,914 | $5.2 \%$ | 1,329 |  |
| Minnesota | 517,348 | $9.5 \%$ | 149,218 | $11.7 \%$ | 990 |  |
| United States | $42,583,651$ | $13.40 \%$ | $13,353,202$ | $18.4 \%$ | $9,120,503$ |  |

Note: This indicator is compared to the state average.


Data Source: US Census Bureau, Small Area Income \& Poverty Estimates. 2017. Source geography: County $\rightarrow$ Show more details


Population Below the Poverty Level, Percent by County, SAIPE 2017

Under 12\%
12.01\%-16\%
16.01\%-22\%
22.01\%-30\%

- Over 30\%Wright County, MN



## Poverty Rate Change

Poverty rate change in the report area from 2000 to 2017 is shown below. According to the U.S. Census, the poverty rate for the area increased by $1 \%$, compared to a national increase of $2.1 \%$.

| Report Area | Persons in Poverty $2000$ | Poverty Rate 2000 | Persons in Poverty $2017$ | Poverty Rate $2017$ | Change in Poverty Rate 2000-2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 4,071 | 4.4\% | 7,204 | 5.4\% | 1\% |
| Minnesota | 336,594 | 6.9\% | 517,348 | 9.5\% | 2.6\% |
| United States | 31,581,086 | 11.3\% | 42,583,651 | 13.4\% | 2.1\% |

Note: This indicator is compared to the state average.
Data Source: US Census Bureau, Small Area Income \& Poverty Estimates. 2017. Source geography: county $\rightarrow$ Show more details


Population Below the Poverty Level, Percent by County, SAIPE 2017

Under 12\%
12.01\%-16\%
16.01\%-22\%
22.01\%-30\%

Over 30\%
$\square$ Wright County, MN


## Households in Poverty

The number and percentage of households in poverty are shown in the report area. In 2017, it is estimated that there were [2,828] households, or $6.07 \%$, living in poverty within the report area.

| Report Area | Total Households | Households <br> in Poverty | Percent Households <br> in Poverty |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wright County, MN | 46,568 | 2,828 |  |
| Minnesota | $2,153,202$ | 218,333 | $6.1 \%$ |
| United States | $118,825,921$ | $16,390,109$ | $10.1 \%$ |

Note: This indicator is compared to the state average.



Households Living Below the Poverty Level, Percent by Tract, ACS 2013-17

Over 20.0\%15.1-20.0\%10.1-15.0\%

Under 10.1\%No Data or Data SuppressedWright County, MN


## Poverty Rate (ACS)

The following report section shows population estimates for all persons in poverty for report area. According to the American Community Survey 5 year estimates, an average of 5.04 percent of all persons lived in a state of poverty during the 2013-2017 period. The poverty rate for all persons living in the report area is less than the national average of $14.58 \%$.

| Report Area | Total Population | Population in Poverty | Percent Population in Poverty |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wright County, MN | 129,918 | 6,551 |  |
| Minnesota | $5,366,210$ | 560,995 | $5.04 \%$ |
| United States | $313,048,563$ | $45,650,345$ | $10.45 \%$ |

Percent Population in Poverty


Wright County (5.04\%)
Minnesota (10.45\%)
United States (14.58\%)

$\square$ View larger map

Population Below the Poverty Level, Percent by Tract, ACS 2013-17

Over 20.0\%15.1-20.0\%10.1-15.0\%Under 10.1\%No Data or Data SuppressedWright County, MN

Population in Poverty by Gender

| Report Area | Total Male | Total Female | Percent Male | Percent Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 2,886 | 3,665 | 4.41\% | 5.69\% |
| Minnesota | 255,682 | 305,313 | 9.58\% | 11.32\% |
| United States | 20,408,626 | 25,241,719 | 13.31\% | 15.8\% |



Population in Poverty by Ethnicity Alone

| Report Area | Total Hispanic / Latino | Total Not Hispanic / Latino | Percent Hispanic / Latino | Percent Not Hispanic / Latino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 414 | 6,137 | 11.5\% | 4.86\% |
| Minnesota | 57,875 | 503,120 | 20.89\% | 9.89\% |
| United States | 12,269,452 | 33,380,893 | 22.15\% | 12.96\% |



Population in Poverty Race Alone, Percent

| Report Area | White | Black or African American | Native American / Alaska Native | Asian | Native Hawaiian / Pacific Islander | Some Other Race | Multiple Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 5.07\% | 4.9\% | 4.83\% | 0\% | 33.33\% | 8.84\% | 4.88\% |
| Minnesota | 7.9\% | 31.85\% | 31.49\% | 15.41\% | 19.92\% | 23.38\% | 18.31\% |
| United States | 12.05\% | 25.19\% | 26.78\% | 11.93\% | 19.01\% | 23.85\% | 18.43\% |



Population in Poverty by Race Alone, Total

| Report Area | White | Black or African American | Native American / Alaska Native | Asian | Native Hawaiian / Pacific Islander | Some Other Race | Multiple Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 6,243 | 87 | 25 | 0 | 3 | 102 | 91 |
| Minnesota | 355,424 | 100,433 | 16,950 | 38,632 | 365 | 21,962 | 27,229 |
| United States | 27,607,156 | 9,807,009 | 681,207 | 2,011,217 | 104,944 | 3,638,390 | 1,800,422 |

Population in Poverty by Race Alone, Total
Wright County, MN


## Families in Poverty by Family Type

The number of families in poverty by type are shown in the report area. According to ACS 2013-2017 5 year estimates for the report area, there were [1,124] families living in poverty

| Report Area | Total Families | Families in Poverty Total | Families in Poverty <br> Married Couples | Families in Poverty <br> Male Householder | Families in Poverty <br> Female Householder |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 35,120 | 1,124 | 509 | 61 | 554 |
| Minnesota | 1,390,181 | 91,488 | 32,080 | 10,020 | 49,388 |
| United States | 78,298,703 | 8,253,388 | 3,023,580 | 880,989 | 4,348,819 |

Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2013-17. Source geography: County $\rightarrow$ Show more details


Married Family Households Living Below the Poverty Level, Percent by Tract, ACS 2013-17

Over 9.0\%6.1-9.0\%3.1-6.0\%

Under 3.1\%
No Married Families Reported
No Data or Data SuppressedWright County, MN


## Family Poverty Rate by Family Type

The percentage of households in poverty by household type are shown for the report area. It is estimated that [3.2\%] of all households were living in poverty within the report area, compared to the national average of $10.5 \%$. Of the households in poverty, female headed households represented [49.3\%] of all households in poverty, compared to [45.3\%] and [5.4\%] of households headed by males and married couples, respectively.

| Report Area | Poverty Rate <br> All Types | Percent of Poverty <br> Married Couples | Percent of Poverty <br> Male Householder | Percent of Poverty <br> Female Householder |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wright County, MN | $3.2 \%$ | $45.3 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ |  |
| Minnesota | $6.6 \%$ | $35.1 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $49.3 \%$ |
| United States | $10.5 \%$ | $36.6 \%$ | $54 \%$ |  |

Note: This indicator is compared to the state average.
Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2013-17. Source geography: County $\rightarrow$ Show more details


Single Parent Family Households Living Below the Poverty Level, Percent by Tract, ACS
2013-17
$\square$ Over 37.0\%
$30.1-37.0 \%$
$23.1-30.0 \%$
Under $23.1 \%$
$\square$ No 1 Parent Households Reported
$\square$ No Data or Data Suppressed
$\square$ Wright County, MN


## Poverty Rate Change (Age 0-17)

The poverty rate change for all children in the report area from 2000 to 2017 is shown below. According to the U.S. Census, the poverty rate for the area decreased by $0 \%$, compared to a national increase of $2.2 \%$.

| Report Area | Poverty <br> Age 0-17 <br> 2000 | Poverty Rate <br> Age 0-17 <br> 2000 | Poverty <br> Age 0-17 <br> 2017 | Poverty Rate <br> Age 0-17 <br> 2017 | Difference in Rate <br> Age 0-17 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wright County, MN | 1,439 | $5000-2017$ |  |  |  |

Note: This indicator is compared to the state average.
Data Source: US Census Bureau, Small Area Income \& Poverty Estimates. 2017. Source geography: County $\rightarrow$ Show more details


Population Below the Poverty Level, Children (Age 0-18), Percent by County, SAIPE 2017
Under 14\%
14.1\%-18\%
18.1\% - 22\%
22.1\%-30\%

Over 30\%
Wright County, MN

## Poverty Rate Change (Age 0-17)



## Poverty Rate Change (Age 0-4)

The poverty rate change for all children (age 0-4) in the report area from 2000 to 2017 is shown below. The U.S. Census Bureau's Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates only calculates poverty for this age on the state and national levels. The national poverty rate change for this age group increased by $1.5 \%$ over the described time period.

| Report Area | Poverty <br> Age 0-4 $2000$ | Poverty Rate $\begin{gathered} \text { Age 0-4 } \\ 2000 \end{gathered}$ | Poverty <br> Age 0-4 <br> 2017 | Poverty Rate $\begin{gathered} \text { Age 0-4 } \\ 2017 \end{gathered}$ | Difference in Rate $\begin{gathered} \text { Age 0-4 } \\ 2000-2017 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minnesota | 34,036 | 10.6\% | 43,319 | 12.5\% | 1.9\% |
| United States | 7,166,195 | 18.7\% | 7,865,936 | 20.2\% | 1.5\% |

Note: This indicator is compared to the state average.
Data Source: US Census Bureau, Small Area Income \& Poverty Estimates. 2017. Source geography: State $\rightarrow$ Show more details


Minnesota (1.9\%)
United States (1.5\%)

$\checkmark$ View larger map

Population Below the Poverty Level, Children (Age 0-4), Percent by State, SAIPE 2017

Under 14\%
$\square 14.01 \%-17 \%$$17.01 \%-21 \%$21.01\%-26\%Over 26\%Wright County, MN


## Poverty Rate Change (Age 5-17)

The poverty rate change for all children in the report area from 2000 to 2017 is shown below. According to the U.S. Census, the poverty rate for the area increased by $0.4 \%$, compared to a national increase of $2.7 \%$.

| Report Area | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Poverty } \\ & \text { Age 5-17 } \\ & 2000 \end{aligned}$ | Poverty Rate <br> Age 5-17 <br> 2000 | Poverty <br> Age 5-17 <br> 2017 | Poverty Rate <br> Age 5-17 <br> 2017 | Difference in Rate <br> Age 5-17 <br> 2000-2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 879 | 4.4\% | 1,329 | 4.8\% | 0.4\% |
| Minnesota | 132,928 | 7.3\% | 199,228 | 10.8\% | 3.5\% |
| United States | 22,606,876 | 14.6\% | 27,357,967 | 17.3\% | 2.7\% |

Note: This indicator is compared to the state average.
Data Source: US Census Bureau, Small Area Income \& Poverty Estimates. 2017. Source geography: County $\rightarrow$ Show more details


View larger map

Population Below the Poverty Level, Children (Age 5-17), Percent by County, SAIPE 2017
$\square$ Under 12\%
12.1\%-17\%
17.1\%-22\%
22.1\%-27\%

Over 27\%
Wright County, MN


## Child Poverty Rate (ACS) Ages 0-17

Population and poverty estimates for children age 0-17 are shown for the report area. According to the American Community Survey 5 year data, an average of [4.7\%] percent of children lived in a state of poverty during the survey calendar year. The poverty rate for children living in the report area is less than the national average of $20.3 \%$.

| Report Area | Ages 0-17 <br> Total Population | Ages 0-17 <br> In Poverty | Ages 0-17 <br> Poverty Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 36,959 | 1,738 | 4.7\% |
| Minnesota | 1,264,384 | 169,040 | 13.4\% |
| United States | 72,430,017 | 14,710,485 | 20.3\% |

Note: This indicator is compared to the state average.
Ages 0-17 Poverty Rate
Wright County, MN (4.7\%)
Minnesota (13.4\%)
United States (20.3\%)

$\square$ View larger map

Population Below the Poverty Level, Children (Age 0-17), Percent by Tract, ACS 2013-17
$\square$ Over 30.0\%22.6-30.0\%15.1-22.5\%

Under 15.1\%
No Population Age 0-17 Reported
No Data or Data SuppressedWright County, MN


Children in Poverty by Gender: Age 0-17

| Report Area | Total Male | Total Female | Percent Male | Percent Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 893 | 845 | 4.72\% | 4.69\% |
| Minnesota | 85,946 | 83,094 | 13.31\% | 13.43\% |
| United States | 7,474,519 | 7,235,966 | 20.21\% | 20.42\% |



Children in Poverty by Ethnicity Alone: Age 0-17

| Report Area | Total Hispanic / Latino | Total Not Hispanic / Latino | Percent Hispanic / Latino | Percent Not Hispanic or Latino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 181 | 1,557 | 12.2\% | 4.39\% |
| Minnesota | 27,856 | 141,184 | 25.61\% | 12.22\% |
| United States | 5,322,391 | 9,388,094 | 29.74\% | 17.21\% |

Children in Poverty by Ethnicity Alone: Age 0-17


Children in Poverty by Race Alone, Percent: Age 0-17

| Report Area | Non-Hispanic White | Black or African American | Native American / Alaska Native | Asian | Native Hawaiian / Pacific Islander | Some Other Race | Multiple Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 4.42\% | 9.07\% | 0\% | 0\% | No data | 22.3\% | 2.16\% |
| Minnesota | 7.34\% | 39.53\% | 37.97\% | 19.45\% | 23.51\% | 31.05\% | 19.5\% |
| United States | 12.18\% | 36.13\% | 34.31\% | 11.86\% | 25.5\% | 32.77\% | 20.63\% |



Children in Poverty by Race Alone, Total: Age 0-17

| Report Area | Non-Hispanic White | Black or African American | Native American / Alaska Native | Asian | Native Hawaiian / Pacific Islander | Some Other Race | Multiple Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 1,464 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 21 |
| Minnesota | 65,370 | 43,345 | 6,408 | 13,958 | 75 | 10,550 | 15,569 |
| United States | 4,527,073 | 3,671,872 | 239,958 | 408,605 | 36,435 | 1,509,518 | 944,479 |

Children in Poverty by Race Alone, Total: Age 0-17
Wright County, MN


## Child Poverty Rate (ACS) Ages 0-4

Population and poverty estimates for children age 0-4 are shown for the report area. According to the American Community Survey 5 year data, an average of [4.9\%] percent of children lived in a state of poverty during the survey calendar year. The poverty rate for children living in the report area is less than the national average of $22.5 \%$.

| Report Area | Ages 0-4 <br> Total Population | Ages 0-4 <br> In Poverty | Ages 0-4 <br> Poverty Rate |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wright County, MN |  | 9,443 | 465 |  |
| Minnesota |  | 344,496 | 51,026 | $4.9 \%$ |
| United States |  | $19,532,877$ | $4,390,252$ | $14.8 \%$ |

Note: This indicator is compared to the state average.
Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2013-17. Source geography: County $\rightarrow$ Show more details

$\checkmark$ View larger map

Population Below the Poverty Level, Children (Age 0-4), Percent by Tract, ACS 2013-17

## Over 37.0\%

- 27.1 - 37.0\%17.1-27.0\%Under 17.1\%
$\square$ No Population Age 0-4 Reported
No Data or Data SuppressedWright County, MN


Children in Poverty by Gender: Age 0-4

| Report Area | Total Male | Total Female | Percent Male | Percent Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 206 | 259 | 4.24\% | 5.65\% |
| Minnesota | 25,839 | 25,187 | 14.65\% | 14.98\% |
| United States | 2,234,987 | 2,155,265 | 22.38\% | 22.58\% |



Children in Poverty by Ethnicity Alone: Age 0-4

| Report Area | Total Hispanic / Latino | Total Not Hispanic / Latino | Percent Hispanic / Latino | Percent Not Hispanic or Latino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 73 | 392 | 20.33\% | 4.32\% |
| Minnesota | 8,362 | 42,664 | 27.35\% | 13.59\% |
| United States | 1,595,668 | 2,794,584 | 31.59\% | 19.3\% |

Children in Poverty by Ethnicity Alone: Age 0-4


Children in Poverty by Race Alone, Percent: Age 0-4

| Report Area | Non-Hispanic White | Black or African American | Native American / Alaska Native | Asian | Native Hawaiian / Pacific Islander | Some Other Race | Multiple Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 4.19\% | 10.04\% | 0\% | 0\% | No data | 36.28\% | 3.97\% |
| Minnesota | 7.95\% | 43.37\% | 44.41\% | 19.31\% | 55.56\% | 34.28\% | 20.51\% |
| United States | 13.66\% | 40.64\% | 39.26\% | 11.6\% | 26.88\% | 34.58\% | 22.55\% |



Children in Poverty by Race Alone, Total: Age 0-4

| Report Area | Non-Hispanic White | Black or African American | Native American / Alaska Native | Asian | Native Hawaiian / Pacific Islander | Some Other Race | Multiple Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 359 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 10 |
| Minnesota | 18,814 | 13,715 | 2,157 | 3,703 | 20 | 3,095 | 5,317 |
| United States | 1,325,784 | 1,105,184 | 71,107 | 106,215 | 10,426 | 435,036 | 331,852 |

Children in Poverty by Race Alone, Total: Age 0 - 4
Wright County, MN


## Child Poverty Rate (ACS) Ages 5-17

Population and poverty estimates for children age 5-17 are shown for the report area. According to the American Community Survey 5 year data, an average of [4.6\%] percent of children lived in a state of poverty during the survey calendar year. The poverty rate for children living in the report area is less than the national average of $19.5 \%$.

| Report Area | Ages 5-17 <br> Total Population | Ages 5-17 <br> In Poverty | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ages 5-17 } \\ & \text { Poverty Rate } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 27,516 | 1,273 | 4.6\% |
| Minnesota | 919,888 | 118,014 | 12.8\% |
| United States | 52,897,140 | 10,320,233 | 19.5\% |

Note: This indicator is compared to the state average.

Ages 5-17 Poverty Rate


$\square$ View larger map

Population Below the Poverty Level, Children (Age 5-17), Percent by Tract, ACS 2013-17
$\square$ Over 29.0\%21.1-29.0\%13.1-21.0\%

- Under 13.1\%

No Population Age 5-17 Reported
No Data or Data SuppressedWright County, MN


Children in Poverty by Gender: Age 5-17

| Report Area | Total Male | Total Female | Percent Male | Percent Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 687 | 586 | 4.88\% | 4.36\% |
| Minnesota | 60,107 | 57,907 | 12.81\% | 12.85\% |
| United States | 5,239,532 | 5,080,701 | 19.41\% | 19.62\% |



Children in Poverty by Ethnicity Alone: Age 5-17

| Report Area | Total Hispanic / Latino | Total Not Hispanic / Latino | Percent Hispanic / Latino | Percent Not Hispanic or Latino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 108 | 1,165 | 9.6\% | 4.41\% |
| Minnesota | 19,494 | 98,520 | 24.93\% | 11.7\% |
| United States | 3,726,723 | 6,593,510 | 29.02\% | 16.46\% |

Children in Poverty by Ethnicity Alone: Age 5-17


Children in Poverty by Race Alone, Percent: Age 5-17

| Report Area | Non-Hispanic White | Black or African American | Native American / Alaska Native | Asian | Native Hawaiian / Pacific Islander | Some Other Race | Multiple Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 4.49\% | 8.69\% | 0\% | 0\% | No data | 14.06\% | 1.53\% |
| Minnesota | 7.12\% | 37.97\% | 35.36\% | 19.5\% | 19.43\% | 29.89\% | 19.01\% |
| United States | 11.66\% | 34.48\% | 32.58\% | 11.95\% | 24.98\% | 32.09\% | 19.72\% |



Children in Poverty by Race Alone, Total: Age 5-17

| Report Area | Non-Hispanic White | Black or African American | Native American / Alaska Native | Asian | Native Hawaiian / Pacific Islander | Some Other Race | Multiple Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 1,105 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 11 |
| Minnesota | 46,556 | 29,630 | 4,251 | 10,255 | 55 | 7,455 | 10,252 |
| United States | 3,201,289 | 2,566,688 | 168,851 | 302,390 | 26,009 | 1,074,482 | 612,627 |

Children in Poverty by Race Alone, Total: Age 5-17
Wright County, MN


## Seniors in Poverty

Poverty rates for seniors (persons age 65 and over) are shown below. According to American Community Survey estimates, there were [955] seniors, or [6.6\%], living in poverty within the report area.

| Report Area | Ages 65 and Up <br> Total Population | Ages 65 and Up <br> In Poverty | Ages <br> Poverty Rate |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wright County, MN | 14,535 |  | 955 |  |
| Minnesota | 773,573 | 55,735 | $6.6 \%$ |  |
| United States | $46,424,881$ | $4,317,192$ |  |  |

Note: This indicator is compared to the state average.
Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2013-17. Source geography: county $\rightarrow$ Show more details

Ages 65 and Up Poverty Rate


$\square$ View larger map

Population Below the Poverty Level, Senior (Age 65+), Percent by Tract, ACS 2013-17

Over 17.0\%

- $12.1-17.0 \%$7.1-12.0\%Under 7.1\%
$\square$ No Population Age 65+ Reported
No Data or Data SuppressedWright County, MN


Poverty by Gender: Age 65 and Up

| Report Area | Total Male | Total Female | Percent Male | Percent Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 401 | 554 | 5.86\% | 7.2\% |
| Minnesota | 19,151 | 36,584 | 5.46\% | 8.65\% |
| United States | 1,524,034 | 2,793,158 | 7.39\% | 10.82\% |



Poverty by Ethnicity Alone: Age 65 and Up

| Report Area | Total Hispanic / Latino | Total Not Hispanic / Latino | Percent Hispanic / Latino | Percent Not Hispanic or Latino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 12 | 943 | 20.69\% | 6.51\% |
| Minnesota | 1,271 | 54,464 | 15.27\% | 7.12\% |
| United States | 685,071 | 3,632,121 | 18.59\% | 8.5\% |



Poverty by Race Alone, Percent: Age 65 and Up

| Report Area | Non-Hispanic White | Black or African American | Native American / Alaska Native | Asian | Native Hawaiian / Pacific Islander | Some Other Race | Multiple Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 6.61\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | No data | 0\% | 0\% |
| Minnesota | 6.53\% | 25.76\% | 15.53\% | 16.17\% | 2.04\% | 12.9\% | 8.89\% |
| United States | 7.21\% | 17.1\% | 17.89\% | 12.9\% | 12.77\% | 21.37\% | 13.72\% |



Poverty by Race Alone, Total: Age 65 and Up

| Report Area | Non-Hispanic White | Black or African American | Native American / Alaska Native | Asian | Native Hawaiian / Pacific Islander | Some Other Race | Multiple Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 943 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minnesota | 47,593 | 3,757 | 642 | 2,325 | 2 | 312 | 367 |
| United States | 2,599,536 | 701,062 | 43,755 | 254,676 | 5,619 | 170,766 | 66,818 |

Poverty by Race Alone, Total: Age 65 and Up

> Wright County, MN


## Employment

## Current Unemployment

Labor force, employment, and unemployment data for each county in the report area is provided in the table below. Overall, the report area experienced an average $3.4 \%$ percent unemployment rate in April 2019.

| Report Area | Labor Force | Number Employed | Number Unemployed | Unemployment Rate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wright County, MN | 75,008 | 72,461 | 2,547 |  |
| Minnesota | $3,086,223$ | $2,987,393$ | 98,830 | $3.4 \%$ |
| United States | $163,172,637$ | $157,701,914$ | $5,470,723$ | $3.2 \%$ |

Note: This indicator is compared to the state average.
Data Source: US Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. 2019 - April. Source geography: County $\rightarrow$ Show more details

$\checkmark$ View larger map

Unemployment, Rate by County, BLS 2019 - April

Over 12.0\%
-9.1-12.0\%6.1-9.0\%
3.1-6.0\%

Under 3.1\%Wright County, MN


## Unemployment Change

Unemployment change within the report area from April 2018 to April 2019 is shown in the chart below. According to the U.S. Department of Labor, unemployment for this thirteen month period grew from $3.29 \%$ to $3.4 \%$.

| Report Area | Unemployment <br> April 2018 | Unemployment <br> April 2019 | Unemployment Rate <br> April 2018 | Unemployment Rate <br> April 2019 | Rate Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 2,455 | 2,547 | 3.29\% | 3.4\% | -0.1\% |
| Minnesota | 92,774 | 98,830 | 3.03\% | 3.2\% | -0.17\% |
| United States | 6,016,833 | 5,470,723 | 3.72\% | 3.35\% | 0.36\% |

Note: This indicator is compared to the state average.
Wright County ( $-0.1 \%$ )

- Minnesota ( $-0.17 \%$ )

Data Source: US Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. 2019 - April. Source geography: County $\rightarrow$ Show more details


Unemployment, Rate by County, BLS 2019 - April
$\square$ 9.1-12.0\%6.1 - 9.0\%3.1 - 6.0\%

Under 3.1\%
$\square$ Wright County, MN


## Household Income

Median annual household incomes in the report area for 2017 are shown in the table below. Since this reports a median amount, a "Report Area" value is not able to be calculated.

| Report Area | Estimated Population | Median Household Income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 133,407 | \$80,805.00 |
| Minnesota | 5,445,768 | \$68,364.00 |
| United States | 317,788,440 | \$60,336.00 |

Data Source: US Census Bureau, Small Area Income \& Poverty Estimates. 2017. Source geography: County $\rightarrow$ Show more details

$\checkmark$ View larger map

## Median Household Income by County, SAIPE 2017

Under $\$ 40,000$
\$40,001 - \$50,000
\$50,001 - \$60,000
Over \$60,000Wright County, MN


## Commuter Travel Patterns

This table shows the method of transportation workers used to travel to work for the report area. Of the [69,430] workers in the report area, [83.6\%] drove to work alone while [8\%] carpooled. [0.8\%] of all workers reported that they used some form of public transportation, while others used some optional means including [1.6\%] walking or riding bicycles, and [1.2\%] used taxicabs to travel to work.

| Report Area | Workers <br> 16 and Up | Percent <br> Drive Alone | Percent <br> Carpool | Percent <br> Public Transportation | Percent <br> Bicycle or Walk | Percent <br> Taxi or Other | Percent <br> Work at Home |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wright County, MN | 69,430 | $83.6 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |  |
| Minnesota | $2,851,545$ | $78 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |  |
| United States | $148,432,042$ | $76.4 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ |  |  |

Note: This indicator is compared to the state average.
Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2013-17. Source geography: County $\rightarrow$ Show more details

$\checkmark$ View larger map

Workers Traveling to Work by Car, Percent by Tract, ACS 2013-17


Non-Hispanic Commuters

| Report Area | Workers 16 and Up | Travel by Car | Use Public Transit | Bike/Walk | Work from Home |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 68,187 | 91.57\% | 0.85\% | 2.88\% | 4.7\% |
| Minnesota | 2,724,915 | 86.64\% | 3.5\% | 4.3\% | 5.56\% |
| United States | 148,432,042 | 85.6\% | 5.13\% | 4.54\% | 4.73\% |

White Non-Hispanic Commuters

| Report Area | Workers 16 and Up | Travel by Car | Use Public Transit | Bike/Walk | Work from Home |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 42,466 | 88.6\% | 0.51\% | 3.48\% | 7.41\% |
| Minnesota | 2,410,294 | 87.43\% | 2.78\% | 4.03\% | 5.76\% |

Hispanic Commuters

| Report Area | Workers 16 and Up | Travel by Car | Use Public Transit | Bike/Walk | Work from Home |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 1,243 | 96.22\% | 0\% | 0.16\% | 3.62\% |
| Minnesota | 126,630 | 84.09\% | 6.02\% | 6.81\% | 3.08\% |

## Travel Time to Work

Travel times for workers who travel (do not work at home) to work is shown for the report area. The median commute time, according to the American Community Survey (ACS), for the report area is [28.57] minutes longer than the national median commute time of 25.13 minutes.

| Report Area | Workers <br> 16 and Up | Travel Time <br> in Minutes <br> (Percent of Workers) <br> Less than 10 | Travel Time in Minutes (Percent of Workers) 10 to 30 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Travel Time } \\ \text { in Minutes } \\ \text { (Percent of Workers) } \\ 30 \text { to } 60 \end{gathered}$ | Travel Time in Minutes (Percent of Workers) More than 60 | Average Commute <br> Time (mins) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 69,430 | 14.27 | 36.55 | 38.05 | 11.13 | 28.57 |
| Minnesota | 2,851,545 | 16.1 | 52.4 | 26.08 | 5.42 | 22.13 |
| United States | 148,432,042 | 12.67 | 49.83 | 28.6 | 8.9 | 25.13 |

Average Commute Time (mins)


Wright County (28.57)
Minnesota (22.13)
United States (25.13)

## Note: This indicator is compared to the state average.

Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2013-17. Source geography: County $\rightarrow$ Show more details

$\longleftarrow$ View larger map

Average Work Commute Time (Minutes), Average by Tract, ACS 2013-17

Over 28 Minutes
25-28 Minutes21-24 MinutesUnder 21 Minutes
No Data or Data SuppressedWright County, MN


## Thirteen Month Unemployment Rates

Unemployment change within the report area from April 2018 to April 2019 is shown in the chart below. According to the U.S. Department of Labor, unemployment for this thirteen month period grew from 3.3\% to 3.4\%.

| Report Area | Apr. 2018 | May 2018 | June 2018 | Jul. 2018 | Aug. 2018 | Sep. 2018 | Oct. 2018 | Nov. 2018 | Dec. 2018 | Jan. 2019 | Feb. 2019 | Mar. 2019 | Apr. 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 3.3\% | 2.3\% | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.2\% | 2.2\% | 2.2\% | 3.4\% | 4.8\% | 4.4\% | 4.5\% | 3.4\% |
| Minnesota | 3\% | 2.4\% | 2.9\% | 2.7\% | 2.6\% | 2.3\% | 2.2\% | 2.3\% | 3.2\% | 4.3\% | 4\% | 4.1\% | 3.2\% |

Data Source: US Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. 2019 - April. Source geography: County $\rightarrow$ Show more details
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## Five Year Unemployment Rate

Unemployment change within the report area from April 2015 to April 2019 is shown in the chart below. According to the U.S. Department of Labor, unemployment for this five year period fell from $5.44 \%$ to $3.44 \%$.

| Report Area | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2017 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2019 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 5.44\% | 4.49\% | 3.56\% | 3.75\% | 3.44\% |  |
| Minnesota | 5.09\% | 4.31\% | 3.6\% | 3.7\% | 3.42\% |  |
| United States | 7.16\% | 5.92\% | 5.14\% | 4.72\% | 4.15\% | 25\% |
| Note: This indicator is compared to the state average. |  |  |  |  |  | County (3.44\%) <br> sota (3.42\%) <br> States (4.15\%) |



Unemployment, Rate by County, BLS 2019 - April


## Education

## Educational Attainment

Educational Attainment shows the distribution of educational attainment levels in the report area. Educational attainment is calculated for persons over 25 , and is an estimated average for the period from 2013 to 2017.

| Report Area | Percent <br> No High School Diploma | Percent High School Only | Percent Some College | Percent <br> Associates Degree | Percent <br> Bachelors <br> Degree | Percent Graduate or Professional Degree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 5.61\% | 28.7\% | 25.2\% | 13.1\% | 20.3\% | 7.1\% |
| Minnesota | 7.22\% | 25.4\% | 21.5\% | 11.1\% | 23\% | 11.8\% |
| United States | 12.69\% | 27.3\% | 20.8\% | 8.3\% | 19.1\% | 11.8\% |

Percent Population with No High School Diploma


Wright County (5.61\%)
Minnesota (7.22\%)
United States (12.69\%)

Note: This indicator is compared to the state average.
Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2013-17. Source geography: County $\rightarrow$ Show more details


Population with No High School Diploma (Age 18+), Percent by Tract, ACS 2013-17
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Wright County, MN


## Adult Literacy

The National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) produces estimates for adult literacy based on educational attainment, poverty, and other factors in each county.

| Report Area | Estimated Population over 16 |  | Percent Lacking Literacy Skills |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wright County, MN |  | 76,165 |  |
| Minnesota | $3,852,097$ | $5 \%$ |  |
| United States |  | $219,016,209$ | $6 \%$ |

Note: This indicator is compared to the state average.
Data Source: National Center for Education Statistics, NCES - Estimates of Low Literacy. Source geography: County $\rightarrow$ Show more details



## Community Action Partnership Report

## Location

## Wright County, MN

## Veterans - Educational Attainment

Veterans Educational Attainment contrasts the distribution of educational attainment levels between military veterans and non-veterans in the region. Educational attainment is calculated for persons over 25, and is an estimated average for the period from 2013 to 2017.

| Report Area | Veterans \% No Diploma | Veterans <br> \% High School <br> Diploma | Veterans \% Some College Diploma | Veterans <br> \% Bachelors <br> or Higher <br> Diploma | Non-Veterans \% No Diploma | Non-Veterans <br> \% High School <br> Diploma | Non-Veterans \% Some College Diploma | Non-Veterans <br> \% Bachelors <br> or Higher <br> Diploma |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 5.13\% | 35.36\% | 39.24\% | 20.27\% | 5.65\% | 28.08\% | 38.19\% | 28.07\% |
| Minnesota | 6.18\% | 31.75\% | 36.09\% | 25.98\% | 7.32\% | 24.8\% | 32.3\% | 35.58\% |
| United States | 6.57\% | 28.48\% | 37.11\% | 27.85\% | 13.31\% | 27.26\% | 28.24\% | 31.2\% |

Note: This indicator is compared to the state average.
Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2013-17. Source geography: County $\rightarrow$ Show more details

$\checkmark$ View larger map

No High School Diploma, Veterans, Percent by Tract, ACS 2013-17
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Wright County, MN


## Population Profile

## Age and Gender Demographics

Population by gender within the report area is shown below. According to ACS 2013-20175 year population estimates for the report area, the female population comprised $49.88 \%$ of the report area, while the male population represented $50.12 \%$.

| Report Area | 0 to 4 <br> Male | 0 to 4 <br> Female | 5 to 17 <br> Male | 5 to 17 <br> Female | 18 to 64 <br> Male | 18 to 64 <br> Female | Over 64 <br> Male | Over 64 <br> Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wright County, MN | 4,890 | 4,619 | 14,359 | 13,631 | 39,831 | 38,781 | 6,305 | 8,053 |
| Minnesota | 179,100 | 170,862 | 478,527 | 457,849 | 1,713,560 | 1,687,110 | 320,613 | 443,074 |
| United States | 10,151,822 | 9,701,693 | 27,458,617 | 26,289,147 | 99,353,006 | 100,317,733 | 18,945,773 | 26,677,081 |

Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2013-17. Source geography: County $\rightarrow$ Show more details

$\checkmark$ View larger map

## Median Age by Tract, ACS 2013-17

35.1-40.0Under 35.1No Data or Data SuppressedWright County, MN

## M CARES <br> University of Missouri Extension

Need help? Contact us at info@engagementnetwork.org

## COMMUNITY ACTION PROMISE

Community Action changes people's lives, embodies the spirit of hope, improves communities, and makes America a better place to live. We care about the entire community, and we are dedicated to helping people help themselves and each other.


2012-2016 American Community Survey 5-year Estimates

- 2013-2017 American Community Survey 5-year Estimates

| School District | Total Students <br> Enrolled | Free Lunch <br> Eligibility <br> Number | Reduced Price <br> Lunch <br> Eligibility <br> Number | Total FRPL <br> Eligibility | Free Lunch <br> Eligibility Percent | Reduced Price <br> Lunch <br> Eligibility <br> Percent | Total FRPL <br> Eligibility <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Delano | 2,495 | 152 | 83 | 235 | $6.10 \%$ | $3.30 \%$ | $9.40 \%$ | FRPL <br> Eligibility <br> Subcategor <br> y |
| St. Michael-Albertville | 6,280 | 548 | 230 | 778 | $8.70 \%$ | $3.70 \%$ | $12.40 \%$ | Low |
| Maple Lake | 832 | 112 | 68 | 180 | $13.50 \%$ | $8.20 \%$ | $21.60 \%$ | Low |
| Rockford Area | 1,703 | 289 | 115 | 404 | $17.00 \%$ | $6.80 \%$ | $23.70 \%$ | Low |
| Buffalo-Hanover- <br> Montrose | 5,706 | 967 | 427 | 1,394 | $16.90 \%$ | $7.50 \%$ | $24.40 \%$ | Low |
| Annandale | 1,858 | 299 | 170 | 469 | $16.10 \%$ | $9.10 \%$ | $25.20 \%$ | Mid-low |
| Monticello | 4,105 | 737 | 310 | 1,047 | $18.00 \%$ | $7.60 \%$ | $25.50 \%$ | Mid-low |
| Dassel-Cokato | 2,232 | 361 | 228 | 589 | $16.20 \%$ | $10.20 \%$ | $26.40 \%$ | Mid-low |
| Howard Lake-Waverly- <br> Winsted | 1,211 | 234 | 92 | 326 | $19.30 \%$ | $7.60 \%$ | $26.90 \%$ | Mid-low |

Free and Reduced Price Lunch: School Districts, 2017 to 2018
https://mndatamaps.web.health.state.mn.us/interactive/frpl.html

## U.S. Census Bureau

## ${ }^{\text {anerican }}$ FactFinder
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## POVERTY STATUS IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS

2013-2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

Supporting documentation on code lists, subject definitions, data accuracy, and statistical testing can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Technical Documentation section

Sample size and data quality measures (including coverage rates, allocation rates, and response rates) can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Methodology section.

Although the American Community Survey (ACS) produces population, demographic and housing unit estimates, it is the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program that produces and disseminates the official estimates of the population for the nation, states, counties, cities, and towns and estimates of housing units for states and counties.

| Subject | Wright County, Minnesota |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Below poverty level |  | Percent below poverty level Estimate |
|  | Estimate | Margin of Error | Estimate | Margin of Error |  |
| Population for whom poverty status is determined | 129,918 | +/-280 | 6,551 | +/-699 | 5.0\% |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 18 years | 36,959 | +/-170 | 1,738 | +/-332 | 4.7\% |
| Under 5 years | 9,443 | +/-51 | 465 | +/-167 | 4.9\% |
| 5 to 17 years | 27,516 | +/-167 | 1,273 | +/-236 | 4.6\% |
| Related children of householder under 18 years | 36,821 | +/-193 | 1,622 | +/-339 | 4.4\% |
| 18 to 64 years | 78,424 | +/-186 | 3,858 | +/-511 | 4.9\% |
| 18 to 34 years | 25,586 | +/-168 | 1,754 | +/-384 | 6.9\% |
| 35 to 64 years | 52,838 | +/-140 | 2,104 | +/-339 | 4.0\% |
| 60 years and over | 21,653 | +/-460 | 1,300 | +/-236 | 6.0\% |
| 65 years and over | 14,535 | +/-192 | 955 | +/-183 | 6.6\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEX |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 65,467 | +/-212 | 2,886 | +/-442 | 4.4\% |
| Female | 64,451 | +/-212 | 3,665 | +/-386 | 5.7\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RACE AND HISPANIC OR LATINO ORIGIN |  |  |  |  |  |
| White alone | 123,077 | +/-360 | 6,243 | +/-640 | 5.1\% |
| Black or African American alone | 1,775 | +/-233 | 87 | +/-91 | 4.9\% |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 518 | +/-122 | 25 | +/-34 | 4.8\% |
| Asian alone | 1,520 | +/-197 | 0 | +/-20 | 0.0\% |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 9 | +/-10 | 3 | +/-4 | 33.3\% |
| Some other race alone | 1,154 | +/-305 | 102 | +/-155 | 8.8\% |
| Two or more races | 1,865 | +/-355 | 91 | +/-61 | 4.9\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino origin (of any race) | 3,600 | +/-26 | 414 | +/-247 | 11.5\% |
| White alone, not Hispanic or Latino | 120,830 | +/-251 | 5,933 | +/-608 | 4.9\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population 25 years and over | 83,519 | +/-251 | 4,135 | +/-430 | 5.0\% |
| Less than high school graduate | 4,522 | +/-496 | 309 | +/-106 | 6.8\% |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 23,897 | +/-855 | 1,770 | +/-264 | 7.4\% |
| Some college, associate's degree | 32,065 | +/-921 | 1,647 | +/-301 | 5.1\% |


| Subject | Wright County, Minnesota |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Below poverty level |  | Percent below |
|  | Estimate | Margin of Error | Estimate | Margin of Error | Estimate |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 23,035 | +/-881 | 409 | +/-114 | 1.8\% |
| EMPLOYMENT STATUS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civilian labor force 16 years and over | 73,120 | +/-754 | 2,294 | +/-393 | 3.1\% |
| Employed | 70,497 | +/-784 | 1,861 | +/-351 | 2.6\% |
| Male | 37,125 | +/-507 | 719 | +/-231 | 1.9\% |
| Female | 33,372 | +/-598 | 1,142 | +/-210 | 3.4\% |
| Unemployed | 2,623 | +/-335 | 433 | +/-144 | 16.5\% |
| Male | 1,430 | +/-256 | 273 | +/-113 | 19.1\% |
| Female | 1,193 | +/-246 | 160 | +/-81 | 13.4\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WORK EXPERIENCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population 16 years and over | 97,003 | +/-310 | 5,031 | +/-536 | 5.2\% |
| Worked full-time, year-round in the past 12 months | 48,847 | +/-862 | 415 | +/-106 | 0.8\% |
| Worked part-time or part-year in the past 12 months | 26,614 | +/-1,001 | 2,097 | +/-377 | 7.9\% |
| Did not work | 21,542 | +/-703 | 2,519 | +/-288 | 11.7\% |
| ALL INDIVIDUALS WITH INCOME BELOW THE FOLLOWING POVERTY RATIOS |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 percent of poverty level | 2,802 | +/-493 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| 125 percent of poverty level | 9,735 | +/-837 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| 150 percent of poverty level | 13,665 | +/-1,029 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| 185 percent of poverty level | 19,446 | +/-1,473 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| 200 percent of poverty level | 22,102 | +/-1,631 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| 300 percent of poverty level | 43,962 | +/-2,172 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| 400 percent of poverty level | 66,580 | +/-2,407 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| 500 percent of poverty level | 88,273 | +/-1,996 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UNRELATED INDIVIDUALS FOR WHOM POVERTY STATUS IS DETERMINED | 17,191 | +/-940 | 3,162 | +/-437 | 18.4\% |
| Male | 9,101 | +/-613 | 1,448 | +/-331 | 15.9\% |
| Female | 8,090 | +/-595 | 1,714 | +/-265 | 21.2\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 years | 47 | +/-30 | 47 | +/-30 | 100.0\% |
| 16 to 17 years | 88 | +/-63 | 69 | +/-50 | 78.4\% |
| 18 to 24 years | 1,873 | +/-366 | 542 | +/-219 | 28.9\% |
| 25 to 34 years | 3,453 | +/-444 | 617 | +/-198 | 17.9\% |
| 35 to 44 years | 2,242 | +/-345 | 324 | +/-110 | 14.5\% |
| 45 to 54 years | 2,886 | +/-354 | 375 | +/-114 | 13.0\% |
| 55 to 64 years | 2,906 | +/-384 | 545 | +/-167 | 18.8\% |
| 65 to 74 years | 1,834 | +/-273 | 313 | +/-114 | 17.1\% |
| 75 years and over | 1,862 | +/-230 | 330 | +/-111 | 17.7\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean income deficit for unrelated individuals (dollars) | 6,399 | +/-542 | (X) | (X) | (X) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Worked full-time, year-round in the past 12 months | 8,334 | +/-697 | 171 | +/-74 | 2.1\% |
| Worked less than full-time, year-round in the past 12 months | 4,024 | +/-464 | 1,295 | +/-336 | 32.2\% |
| Did not work | 4,833 | +/-400 | 1,696 | +/-233 | 35.1\% |


| Subject | Wright County, Minnesota |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent below poverty level |
|  | Margin of Error |
| Population for whom poverty status is determined | +/-0.5 |
| AGE |  |
| Under 18 years | +/-0.9 |
| Under 5 years | +/-1.8 |
| 5 to 17 years | +/-0.9 |
| Related children of householder under 18 years | +/-0.9 |
| 18 to 64 years | +/-0.7 |
| 18 to 34 years | +/-1.5 |
| 35 to 64 years | +/-0.6 |
| 60 years and over | +/-1.1 |
| 65 years and over | +/-1.3 |
| SEX |  |
| Male | +/-0.7 |
| Female | +/-0.6 |
| RACE AND HISPANIC OR LATINO ORIGIN |  |
| White alone | +/-0.5 |
| Black or African American alone | +/-5.0 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | +/-6.6 |
| Asian alone | +/-1.1 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | +/-48.2 |
| Some other race alone | +/-13.6 |
| Two or more races | +/-3.1 |
|  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino origin (of any race) | +/-6.9 |
| White alone, not Hispanic or Latino | +/-0.5 |
| EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT |  |
| Population 25 years and over | +/-0.5 |
| Less than high school graduate | +/-2.4 |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | +/-1.1 |
| Some college, associate's degree | +/-0.9 |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | +/-0.5 |
|  |  |
| EMPLOYMENT STATUS |  |
| Civilian labor force 16 years and over | +/-0.5 |
| Employed | +/-0.5 |
| Male | +/-0.6 |
| Female | +/-0.6 |
| Unemployed | +/-5.4 |
| Male | +/-7.3 |
| Female | +/-6.6 |
|  |  |
| WORK EXPERIENCE |  |
| Population 16 years and over | +/-0.6 |
| Worked full-time, year-round in the past 12 months | +/-0.2 |
| Worked part-time or part-year in the past 12 months | +/-1.5 |
| Did not work | +/-1.3 |
| ALL INDIVIDUALS WITH INCOME BELOW THE FOLLOWING POVERTY RATIOS |  |
| 50 percent of poverty level | (X) |
| 125 percent of poverty level | (X) |
| 150 percent of poverty level | (X) |
| 185 percent of poverty level | (X) |
| 200 percent of poverty level | (X) |


| Subject | Wright County, Minnesota |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent below poverty level |
|  | Margin of Error |
| 300 percent of poverty level | (X) |
| 400 percent of poverty level | (X) |
| 500 percent of poverty level | (X) |
| UNRELATED INDIVIDUALS FOR WHOM POVERTY STATUS IS DETERMINED | +/-2.4 |
| Male | +/-3.4 |
| Female | +/-2.7 |
| 15 years | +/-30.1 |
| 16 to 17 years | +/-28.3 |
| 18 to 24 years | +/-9.9 |
| 25 to 34 years | +/-5.1 |
| 35 to 44 years | +/-4.8 |
| 45 to 54 years | +/-3.8 |
| 55 to 64 years | +/-5.1 |
| 65 to 74 years | +/-6.0 |
| 75 years and over | +/-5.5 |
| Mean income deficit for unrelated individuals (dollars) | (X) |
| Worked full-time, year-round in the past 12 months | +/-0.9 |
| Worked less than full-time, year-round in the past 12 months | +/-6.6 |
| Did not work | +/-4.1 |

Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error. The value shown here is the 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90 percent probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the margin of error and the estimate plus the margin of error (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error (for a discussion of nonsampling variability, see Accuracy of the Data). The effect of nonsampling error is not represented in these tables.

While the 2013-2017 American Community Survey (ACS) data generally reflect the February 2013 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) definitions of metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas; in certain instances the names, codes, and boundaries of the principal cities shown in ACS tables may differ from the OMB definitions due to differences in the effective dates of the geographic entities.

Estimates of urban and rural populations, housing units, and characteristics reflect boundaries of urban areas defined based on Census 2010 data. As a result, data for urban and rural areas from the ACS do not necessarily reflect the results of ongoing urbanization.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2013-2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

## Explanation of Symbols:

1. An '**' entry in the margin of error column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute a standard error and thus the margin of error. A statistical test is not appropriate.
2. An '-' entry in the estimate column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute an estimate, or a ratio of medians cannot be calculated because one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution.
3. An '-' following a median estimate means the median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution.
4. An '+' following a median estimate means the median falls in the upper interval of an open-ended distribution.
5. An ${ }^{1 * * * '}$ entry in the margin of error column indicates that the median falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution. A statistical test is not appropriate
6. An ${ }^{\prime * * * * * ' ~}$ entry in the margin of error column indicates that the estimate is controlled. A statistical test for sampling variability is not appropriate.
7. An ' N ' entry in the estimate and margin of error columns indicates that data for this geographic area cannot be displayed because the number of sample cases is too small.
8. An '(X)' means that the estimate is not applicable or not available.

3rd grade students achieving reading standards By school district, Minnesota, 2013-2018
$201320142015 \quad 2016 \quad 2017$
Primary school districts

## A.C.G.C. (Atwater-Cosmos-Grove City)

| Percent m€ | $75.00 \%$ | $67.90 \%$ | $73.90 \%$ | $57.70 \%$ | $59.30 \%$ | $45.20 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 42 | 38 | 34 | 41 | 32 | 33 |
| Total stude | 56 | 56 | 46 | 71 | 54 | 73 |
| Ada-Borup |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $61.80 \%$ | $61.80 \%$ | $60.00 \%$ | $52.80 \%$ | $64.30 \%$ | $66.70 \%$ |
| Number mı | 21 | 21 | 21 | 19 | 27 | 18 |
| Total stude | 34 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 42 | 27 |
| Adrian |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $68.40 \%$ | $57.40 \%$ | $62.20 \%$ | $52.60 \%$ | $54.50 \%$ | $60.00 \%$ |
| Number mı | 26 | 31 | 28 | 20 | 18 | 21 |
| Total stude | 38 | 54 | 45 | 38 | 33 | 35 |
| Aitkin |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $54.40 \%$ | $45.40 \%$ | $50.70 \%$ | $50.00 \%$ | $42.00 \%$ | $61.30 \%$ |
| Number mı | 56 | 44 | 38 | 45 | 42 | 46 |
| Total stude | 103 | 97 | 75 | 90 | 100 | 75 |

Albany

| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $55.80 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number mı | 72 |
| Total stude | 129 |
| Albert Lea |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $51.10 \%$ |
| Number mı | 134 |
| Total stude | 262 |
| Alden-Conger |  |

Alden-Conger

| Percent m€ | $56.70 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number mı | 17 |
| Total stude | 30 |

Alexandria

| Percent m€ | $68.90 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number mı | 208 |
| Total stude | 302 |

Annandale

| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $64.40 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number mı | 76 |
| Total stude | 118 |

Anoka-Hennepin
Percent
Numbe
Total s
Ashby
Percent
69.20\%
84.60\%
47.40\%
$73.80 \%$
96
130

| $76.30 \%$ | $65.20 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 100 | 90 | 138

47.60\%

119 250 62.80\% 27 43 65.40\% 193 295 68.80\% 99 144
62.60\%

1,678
2,680

| Number mı | 18 | 11 | 9 | 12 | 11 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total stude | 26 | 13 | 19 | 18 | 19 | 13 |
| Austin |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 50.90\% | 47.90\% | 49.30\% | 45.00\% | 46.30\% | 46.90\% |
| Number mı | 170 | 161 | 187 | 166 | 188 | 188 |
| Total stude | 334 | 336 | 379 | 369 | 406 | 401 |
| Badger |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 78.90\% | 57.90\% | 50.00\% | 38.10\% | 38.90\% | 35.30\% |
| Number mı | 15 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 |
| Total stude | 19 | 19 | 18 | 21 | 18 | 17 |
| Bagley |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 30.10\% | 55.30\% | 40.50\% | 40.20\% | 34.70\% | 43.20\% |
| Number mı | 22 | 47 | 32 | 39 | 26 | 38 |
| Total stude | 73 | 85 | 79 | 97 | 75 | 88 |
| Barnesville |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 73.00\% | 79.20\% | 86.20\% | 85.90\% | 80.90\% | 83.60\% |
| Number mı | 46 | 61 | 56 | 55 | 55 | 56 |
| Total stude | 63 | 77 | 65 | 64 | 68 | 67 |
| Barnum |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 60.30\% | 61.90\% | 86.20\% | 63.60\% | 71.00\% | 73.30\% |
| Number mı | 38 | 39 | 56 | 35 | 44 | 33 |
| Total stude | 63 | 63 | 65 | 55 | 62 | 45 |
| Battle Lake |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 62.90\% | 82.80\% | 81.50\% | 68.20\% | 83.90\% | 50.00\% |
| Number mı | 22 | 24 | 22 | 15 | 26 | 19 |
| Total stude | 35 | 29 | 27 | 22 | 31 | 38 |
| Becker |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 55.90\% | 71.70\% | 63.00\% | 73.00\% | 67.00\% | 63.30\% |
| Number mı | 114 | 132 | 131 | 157 | 130 | 140 |
| Total stude | 204 | 184 | 208 | 215 | 194 | 221 |
| Belgrade-Brooten-Elrosa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 65.40\% | 59.30\% | 63.40\% | 60.00\% | 78.90\% | 61.30\% |
| Number mı | 34 | 32 | 26 | 36 | 30 | 19 |
| Total stude | 52 | 54 | 41 | 60 | 38 | 31 |
| Belle Plaine |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 50.40\% | 60.80\% | 56.80\% | 63.90\% | 71.10\% | 64.90\% |
| Number mı | 63 | 73 | 71 | 78 | 81 | 72 |
| Total stude | 125 | 120 | 125 | 122 | 114 | 111 |
| Bemidji |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 53.80\% | 60.50\% | 55.20\% | 47.90\% | 51.10\% | 42.10\% |
| Number mı | 198 | 239 | 208 | 192 | 217 | 165 |
| Total stude | 368 | 395 | 377 | 401 | 425 | 392 |
| Benson |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 58.70\% | 57.60\% | 71.20\% | 71.20\% | 46.60\% | 50.00\% |
| Number mı | 37 | 34 | 42 | 37 | 34 | 29 |
| Total stude | 63 | 59 | 59 | 52 | 73 | 58 |
| Bertha-Hewit |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent me | 53.30\% | 65.50\% | 56.40\% | 51.40\% | 45.90\% | 60.70\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number mı | 16 | 19 | 22 | 19 | 17 | 17 |
| Total stude | 30 | 29 | 39 | 37 | 37 | 28 |
| Big Lake |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 46.80\% | 61.80\% | 49.20\% | 64.60\% | 61.40\% | 59.10\% |
| Number mı | 126 | 152 | 119 | 166 | 151 | 127 |
| Total stude | 269 | 246 | 242 | 257 | 246 | 215 |
| Bird Island-Olivia-Lake Lillian |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 62.80\% | 45.20\% | 73.90\% | 44.30\% | 32.10\% | 50.00\% |
| Number mı | 27 | 19 | 34 | 27 | 17 | 20 |
| Total stude | 43 | 42 | 46 | 61 | 53 | 40 |
| Blackduck |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 53.50\% | 56.60\% | 71.40\% | 57.50\% | 59.20\% | 38.00\% |
| Number mı | 23 | 30 | 25 | 23 | 29 | 19 |
| Total stude | 43 | 53 | 35 | 40 | 49 | 50 |
| Blooming Prairie |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 54.70\% | 67.30\% | 67.70\% | 51.60\% | 58.60\% | 50.00\% |
| Number mı | 29 | 37 | 44 | 32 | 34 | 27 |
| Total stude | 53 | 55 | 65 | 62 | 58 | 54 |
| Bloomington |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 63.20\% | 56.10\% | 56.20\% | 57.60\% | 53.30\% | 51.70\% |
| Number mı | 429 | 437 | 443 | 451 | 360 | 387 |
| Total stude | 679 | 779 | 788 | 783 | 676 | 748 |
| Blue Earth Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 45.30\% | 50.50\% | 51.50\% | 45.30\% | 44.70\% | 52.90\% |
| Number mı | 43 | 51 | 50 | 39 | 38 | 36 |
| Total stude | 95 | 101 | 97 | 86 | 85 | 68 |
| Braham |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 70.20\% | 85.50\% | 49.20\% | 56.30\% | 60.60\% | 76.10\% |
| Number mı | 40 | 53 | 31 | 27 | 40 | 35 |
| Total stude | 57 | 62 | 63 | 48 | 66 | 46 |
| Brainerd |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 68.60\% | 66.90\% | 68.40\% | 67.90\% | 67.40\% | 62.90\% |
| Number mı | 323 | 317 | 336 | 317 | 327 | 320 |
| Total stude | 471 | 474 | 491 | 467 | 485 | 509 |
| Brandon |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 66.70\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number mı | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total stude | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brandon-Evansville |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent mee | ing or exı | 67.60\% | 89.50\% | 67.60\% | 56.40\% | 64.50\% |
| Number mee | ing or ex | 23 | 34 | 25 | 22 | 20 |
| Total studen | (for whi | 34 | 38 | 37 | 39 | 31 |
| Breckenridge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 51.20\% | 59.10\% | 65.00\% | 67.50\% | 69.80\% | 52.30\% |
| Number mı | 22 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 30 | 23 |
| Total stude | 43 | 44 | 40 | 40 | 43 | 44 |

Brewster
Percent me 33.30\%
Number mı 9
Total stude 27
Brooklyn Center

| Percent m€ | $32.70 \%$ | $31.60 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 56 | 49 |
| Total stude | 171 | 155 |
| Browerville |  |  |
| Percent m€ | $40.90 \%$ | $68.00 \%$ |
| Number mı | 9 | 17 |
| Total stude | 22 | 25 |
| Browns Valley |  |  |


| $35.60 \%$ | $26.10 \%$ | $20.50 \%$ | $12.00 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 58 | 49 | 33 | 23 |
| 163 | 188 | 161 | 191 |
|  |  |  |  |
| $48.00 \%$ | $36.00 \%$ | $43.30 \%$ | $36.80 \%$ |
| 12 | 9 | 13 | 14 |
| 25 | 25 | 30 | 38 |

Browns Valley

| Percent $m \in N / A$ | $N / A$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Number mıN/A | $N / A$ |

Total stude 9
Buffalo Lake-Hector-Stewart

| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $60.00 \%$ | $73.70 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 27 | 28 |
| Total stude | 45 | 38 |

Buffalo-Hanover-Montrose

| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $59.80 \%$ | $62.20 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 253 | 271 |
| Total stude | 423 | 436 |

Burnsville

| Percent m€ | $52.10 \%$ | $49.60 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 363 | 341 |
| Total stude | 697 | 688 |
| Butterfield |  |  |
| Percent m€ | $42.90 \%$ | $37.50 \%$ |
| Number mı | 6 | 6 |
| Total stude | 14 | 16 |

Byron

| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $70.20 \%$ | $67.80 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 118 | 99 |
| Total stude | 168 | 146 |

Caledonia

| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $57.80 \%$ | $52.40 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 26 | 22 |
| Total stude | 45 | 42 |

Cambridge-Isanti

| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $55.90 \%$ | $59.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 205 | 229 |
| Total stude | 367 | 388 |

Campbell-Tintah
Percent m€ $41.70 \%$ N/A
30.00\%
90.00\% N/A
80.00\%

Number mı 5 N/A
3

| $71.50 \%$ | $63.90 \%$ | $66.00 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 313 | 267 | 287 |
| 438 | 418 | 435 |


| $45.90 \%$ | $43.70 \%$ | $48.80 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 343 | 291 | 327 |
| 747 | 666 | 670 |
|  |  |  |
| $22.70 \%$ | $18.80 \% \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |
| 5 | $3 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |
| 22 | 16 | 9 |
|  |  |  |
| $79.30 \%$ | $80.40 \%$ | $80.10 \%$ |
| 130 | 131 | 113 |
| 164 | 163 | 141 |


| $77.10 \%$ | $74.40 \%$ | $64.70 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 37 | 32 | 33 |
| 48 | 43 | 51 |


| $57.90 \%$ | $53.10 \%$ | $51.70 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 227 | 203 | 185 |
| 392 | 382 | 358 |
|  |  |  |
| $90.00 \%$ N/A |  | $80.00 \%$ |
| 9 N/A | 8 |  |


| Total stude | 12 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canby |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 60.40\% | 50.00\% | 66.70\% | 71.90\% | 58.80\% | 51.30\% |
| Number mı | 29 | 17 | 22 | 23 | 30 | 20 |
| Total stude | 48 | 34 | 33 | 32 | 51 | 39 |
| Cannon Falls |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 65.40\% | 70.90\% | 57.70\% | 59.30\% | 59.50\% | 67.40\% |
| Number mı | 53 | 61 | 45 | 51 | 50 | 64 |
| Total stude | 81 | 86 | 78 | 86 | 84 | 95 |
| Carlton |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 51.70\% | 59.00\% | 85.70\% | 63.60\% | 61.00\% | 71.90\% |
| Number mı | 15 | 23 | 24 | 21 | 25 | 23 |
| Total stude | 29 | 39 | 28 | 33 | 41 | 32 |
| Cass Lake-Bena |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 18.40\% | 33.00\% | 39.60\% | 33.30\% | 38.90\% | 38.40\% |
| Number mı | 19 | 34 | 38 | 37 | 42 | 33 |
| Total stude | 103 | 103 | 96 | 111 | 108 | 86 |
| Cedar Mountain |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 36.60\% | 42.90\% | 60.70\% | 50.00\% | 57.50\% | 56.50\% |
| Number mı | 15 | 18 | 17 | 24 | 23 | 13 |
| Total stude | 41 | 42 | 28 | 48 | 40 | 23 |
| Centennial |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 70.90\% | 65.80\% | 69.80\% | 69.40\% | 72.20\% | 70.20\% |
| Number mı | 343 | 285 | 300 | 338 | 324 | 355 |
| Total stude | 484 | 433 | 430 | 487 | 449 | 506 |
| Central |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 69.80\% | 72.60\% | 65.60\% | 60.40\% | 53.70\% | 69.70\% |
| Number mı | 44 | 53 | 42 | 55 | 36 | 46 |
| Total stude | 63 | 73 | 64 | 91 | 67 | 66 |
| Chatfield |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 43.90\% | 67.80\% | 88.60\% | 86.20\% | 78.80\% | 75.80\% |
| Number mı | 29 | 59 | 62 | 75 | 52 | 47 |
| Total stude | 66 | 87 | 70 | 87 | 66 | 62 |
| Chisago Lakes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 63.60\% | 70.90\% | 69.20\% | 57.80\% | 64.50\% | 54.90\% |
| Number mı | 150 | 163 | 157 | 145 | 147 | 139 |
| Total stude | 236 | 230 | 227 | 251 | 228 | 253 |
| Chisholm |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 34.80\% | 56.90\% | 55.80\% | 53.30\% | 62.70\% | 56.90\% |
| Number mı | 23 | 33 | 29 | 32 | 37 | 37 |
| Total stude | 66 | 58 | 52 | 60 | 59 | 65 |
| Chokio-Alberta |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 61.90\% |  | 41.70\% |  | 47.10\% | 70.00\% |
| Number mı |  |  | 5 |  | 8 | 7 |
| Total stude | 21 | 7 | 12 | 8 | 17 | 10 |
| Clearbrook-Gonvick |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 20.00\% | 33.30\% | 61.50\% | 41.70\% | 60.60\% | 76.00\% |


| Number mı | 8 | 11 | 16 | 15 | 20 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total stude | 40 | 33 | 26 | 36 | 33 | 25 |
| Cleveland |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 70.60\% | 72.20\% | 70.00\% | 78.90\% | 61.10\% | 66.70\% |
| Number mı | 24 | 26 | 21 | 30 | 22 | 22 |
| Total stude | 34 | 36 | 30 | 38 | 36 | 33 |
| Climax-Shelly |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 33.30\% | 53.30\% | 41.70\% | 35.70\% | 45.00\% | 47.40\% |
| Number mı | 4 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 9 | 9 |
| Total stude | 12 | 15 | 12 | 14 | 20 | 19 |
| Clinton-Graceville-Beardsley |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m | 71.40\% | 77.80\% | 70.80\% | 57.10\% | 66.70\% | 71.40\% |
| Number mı | 15 | 21 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 15 |
| Total stude | 21 | 27 | 24 | 28 | 24 | 21 |
| Cloquet |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 65.80\% | 65.20\% | 76.80\% | 70.50\% | 65.60\% | 70.70\% |
| Number mı | 121 | 107 | 149 | 141 | 120 | 135 |
| Total stude | 184 | 164 | 194 | 200 | 183 | 191 |
| Columbia Heights |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 38.00\% | 41.60\% | 36.80\% | 27.70\% | 34.60\% | 23.80\% |
| Number mı | 93 | 107 | 95 | 69 | 91 | 53 |
| Total stude | 245 | 257 | 258 | 249 | 263 | 223 |
| Comfrey |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 58.80\% | 70.00\% | 66.70\% | 38.50\% |  |  |
| Number mı | 10 | 7 | 10 | 5 |  |  |
| Total stude | 17 | 10 | 15 | 13 | 5 | 5 |
| Cook County |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 53.80\% | 56.00\% | 31.30\% | 54.20\% | 56.00\% | 79.20\% |
| Number mı | 14 | 14 | 10 | 13 | 14 | 19 |
| Total stude | 26 | 25 | 32 | 24 | 25 | 24 |
| Cromwell-Wright |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 73.10\% | 50.00\% | 75.80\% | 50.00\% | 50.00\% | 46.20\% |
| Number mı | 19 | 13 | 25 | 11 | 16 | 12 |
| Total stude | 26 | 26 | 33 | 22 | 32 | 26 |
| Crookston |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 49.00\% | 53.40\% | 63.10\% | 60.40\% | 50.50\% | 64.90\% |
| Number mı | 49 | 55 | 53 | 55 | 51 | 48 |
| Total stude | 100 | 103 | 84 | 91 | 101 | 74 |
| Crosby-Ironton |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 49.40\% | 44.60\% | 63.50\% | 34.60\% | 53.40\% | 51.30\% |
| Number mı | 39 | 37 | 47 | 27 | 39 | 40 |
| Total stude | 79 | 83 | 74 | 78 | 73 | 78 |
| Cyrus |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent $m \in N / A$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number mıN/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total stude | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dassel-Cokat |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent me | 69.80\% | 59.60\% | 72.10\% | 75.90\% | 70.90\% | 70.90\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number mı | 120 | 90 | 124 | 148 | 112 | 124 |
| Total stude | 172 | 151 | 172 | 195 | 158 | 175 |
| Dawson-Boyd |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 67.70\% | 44.70\% | 54.50\% | 62.80\% | 51.40\% | 47.60\% |
| Number mı | 21 | 17 | 18 | 27 | 19 | 20 |
| Total stude | 31 | 38 | 33 | 43 | 37 | 42 |
| Deer River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 46.50\% | 46.60\% | 53.80\% | 59.00\% | 50.70\% | 58.10\% |
| Number mı | 33 | 34 | 42 | 46 | 38 | 43 |
| Total stude | 71 | 73 | 78 | 78 | 75 | 74 |
| Delano |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 79.70\% | 81.50\% | 79.60\% | 79.80\% | 78.50\% | 75.30\% |
| Number mı | 141 | 141 | 129 | 150 | 153 | 125 |
| Total stude | 177 | 173 | 162 | 188 | 195 | 166 |
| Detroit Lakes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 62.60\% | 64.20\% | 56.60\% | 57.80\% | 49.50\% | 56.50\% |
| Number mı | 129 | 138 | 129 | 133 | 104 | 113 |
| Total stude | 206 | 215 | 228 | 230 | 210 | 200 |
| Dilworth-Glyndon-Felton |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 59.20\% | 66.90\% | 62.30\% | 63.00\% | 66.90\% | 63.90\% |
| Number mı | 74 | 93 | 81 | 92 | 101 | 94 |
| Total stude | 125 | 139 | 130 | 146 | 151 | 147 |
| Dover-Eyota |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 68.80\% | 81.10\% | 67.40\% | 68.20\% | 76.70\% | 73.80\% |
| Number mı | 64 | 73 | 60 | 58 | 69 | 59 |
| Total stude | 93 | 90 | 89 | 85 | 90 | 80 |
| Duluth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 53.60\% | 55.60\% | 57.90\% | 62.10\% | 58.00\% | 61.50\% |
| Number mı | 321 | 351 | 354 | 360 | 363 | 369 |
| Total stude | 599 | 631 | 611 | 580 | 626 | 600 |
| Eagle Valley |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 42.10\% | 44.40\% | 31.80\% | 36.40\% | 50.00\% |  |
| Number mı | 8 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Total stude | 19 | 18 | 22 | 22 | 18 |  |
| East Central |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 42.90\% | 43.50\% | 50.00\% | 52.80\% | 49.20\% | 51.10\% |
| Number mı | 21 | 27 | 31 | 28 | 30 | 23 |
| Total stude | 49 | 62 | 62 | 53 | 61 | 45 |
| East Grand Forks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 55.00\% | 55.10\% | 55.30\% | 45.60\% | 43.90\% | 41.10\% |
| Number mı | 72 | 70 | 68 | 68 | 68 | 65 |
| Total stude | 131 | 127 | 123 | 149 | 155 | 158 |
| Eastern Carver County |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 69.90\% | 67.60\% | 68.90\% | 66.00\% | 67.20\% | 65.40\% |
| Number mı | 501 | 450 | 452 | 446 | 478 | 424 |
| Total stude | 717 | 666 | 656 | 676 | 711 | 648 |

Eden Prairie

| Percent m€ | $69.20 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number mı | 45 |
| Total stude | 660 |
| Eden Valley-Watkins |  |


| Percent mє | $61.80 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number mı | 47 |
| Total stude | 76 |

Edgerton

| Percent m€ | $39.10 \%$ | $57.10 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 9 | 16 |
| Total stude | 23 | 28 |

Edina

| Percent m€ | $78.30 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number mı | 488 |
| Total stude | 623 |
| Ellsworth |  |


| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $50.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number mı | 6 |

Total stude
Ely
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { Percent m } \epsilon & 72.20 \% \\ \text { Number mı } & 26 \\ \text { Total stude } & 36\end{array}$
Esko

| Percent m€ | $69.10 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number mı | 65 |
| Total stude | 94 |
| Evansville |  |
| Percent m€ | $66.70 \%$ |
| Number mı | 8 |
| Total stude | 12 |

Eveleth-Gilbert
Percent $\mathrm{m} \epsilon$
Number mı
Total stude
73.00\%

Total stude
Fairmont Area

| Percent m€ | $43.90 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number mı | 58 |
| Total stude | 132 |

Faribault
Percent m€
Number mı
Total stude
$47.00 \%$
131

Farmington
Percent m $\epsilon$
60.90\%

Number mı 338
41.70\%

67.70\%

453
669
64.60\%

53
82

28
$74.20 \%$
470

| $75.40 \%$ | $78.60 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 454 | 471 |


| $78.20 \%$ | $70.00 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 498 | 424 |
| 637 | 606 |


| $71.00 \%$ | $71.50 \%$ | $67.30 \%$ | $69.90 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 435 | 470 | 407 | 455 |
| 613 | 657 | 605 | 651 |
|  |  |  |  |
| $67.90 \%$ | $55.20 \%$ | $55.00 \%$ | $57.30 \%$ |
| 55 | 37 | 44 | 43 |
| 81 | 67 | 80 | 75 |
|  |  |  |  |
| $29.60 \%$ | $72.40 \%$ | $45.20 \%$ | $59.40 \%$ |
| 8 | 21 | 14 | 19 |
| 27 | 29 | 31 | 32 |


| $52.90 \%$ N/A | N/A | N/A |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9 N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 17 | 9 | 7 | 8



| $60.00 \%$ | $63.40 \%$ | $54.20 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 27 | 26 | 26 |
| 45 | 41 | 48 |


| $85.90 \%$ | $74.80 \%$ | $77.80 \%$ | $78.90 \%$ | $78.80 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 67 | 77 | 77 | 71 | 78 |
| 78 | 103 | 99 | 90 | 99 |


| Total stude | 555 | 539 | 572 | 588 | 550 | 534 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fergus Falls |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 67.50\% | 67.50\% | 65.50\% | 63.00\% | 68.70\% | 63.60\% |
| Number mı | 106 | 108 | 116 | 133 | 138 | 138 |
| Total stude | 157 | 160 | 177 | 211 | 201 | 217 |
| Fertile-Beltrami |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent $\mathrm{m} \epsilon$ | 69.40\% | 67.60\% | 47.20\% | 67.50\% | 80.00\% | 73.50\% |
| Number mı | 25 | 23 | 17 | 27 | 32 | 25 |
| Total stude | 36 | 34 | 36 | 40 | 40 | 34 |
| Fillmore Central |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent $\mathrm{m} \epsilon$ | 57.10\% | 60.50\% | 70.20\% | 67.20\% | 54.20\% | 47.80\% |
| Number mı | 20 | 23 | 33 | 41 | 26 | 22 |
| Total stude | 35 | 38 | 47 | 61 | 48 | 46 |
| Fisher |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 48.00\% | 55.60\% | 46.70\% | 57.10\% | 37.50\% | 57.90\% |
| Number mı | 12 | 10 | 7 | 12 | 9 | 11 |
| Total stude | 25 | 18 | 15 | 21 | 24 | 19 |
| Floodwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 36.40\% | 63.20\% | 18.80\% | 58.30\% | 50.00\% |  |
| Number mı | 4 | 12 | 3 | 7 | 7 |  |
| Total stude | 11 | 19 | 16 | 12 | 14 | 9 |
| Foley |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 72.10\% | 68.60\% | 74.10\% | 71.70\% | 64.80\% | 72.30\% |
| Number mı | 93 | 94 | 103 | 104 | 81 | 102 |
| Total stude | 129 | 137 | 139 | 145 | 125 | 141 |
| Forest Lake |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 49.90\% | 58.10\% | 62.90\% | 50.20\% | 48.50\% | 48.20\% |
| Number mı | 244 | 307 | 278 | 229 | 225 | 206 |
| Total stude | 489 | 528 | 442 | 456 | 464 | 427 |
| Fosston |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 62.70\% | 61.90\% | 38.60\% | 68.30\% | 56.00\% | 53.20\% |
| Number mı | 32 | 26 | 22 | 28 | 28 | 25 |
| Total stude | 51 | 42 | 57 | 41 | 50 | 47 |
| Frazee-Vergas |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 67.70\% | 76.70\% | 72.60\% | 70.40\% | 70.10\% | 60.70\% |
| Number mı | 42 | 56 | 53 | 38 | 54 | 37 |
| Total stude | 62 | 73 | 73 | 54 | 77 | 61 |
| Fridley |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 49.30\% | 47.70\% | 43.90\% | 43.60\% | 40.70\% | 46.10\% |
| Number mı | 112 | 84 | 98 | 99 | 99 | 100 |
| Total stude | 227 | 176 | 223 | 227 | 243 | 217 |
| Fulda |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 36.80\% | 66.70\% | 62.50\% | 47.60\% | 52.60\% | 42.30\% |
| Number mı | 7 | 12 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 11 |
| Total stude | 19 | 18 | 24 | 21 | 19 | 26 |
| G.F.W. (Gibbon-Fairfax-Winthrop) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 40.90\% | 57.50\% | 50.80\% | 64.30\% | 47.10\% | 55.80\% |


| Number mı | 18 | 23 | 31 | 27 | 24 | 29 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total stude | 44 | 40 | 61 | 42 | 51 | 52 |
| Glencoe-Silver Lake |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 57.70\% | 53.60\% | 57.00\% | 55.60\% | 48.40\% | 47.20\% |
| Number mı | 71 | 67 | 65 | 65 | 46 | 51 |
| Total stude | 123 | 125 | 114 | 117 | 95 | 108 |
| Glenville-Emmons |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent $\mathrm{m} \epsilon$ | 64.00\% | 63.20\% | 26.70\% | 52.40\% | 40.00\% | 47.60\% |
| Number mı | 16 | 12 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 10 |
| Total stude | 25 | 19 | 15 | 21 | 15 | 21 |
| Goodhue |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 56.40\% | 46.90\% | 61.50\% | 57.70\% | 72.70\% | 64.20\% |
| Number mı | 31 | 23 | 24 | 30 | 32 | 34 |
| Total stude | 55 | 49 | 39 | 52 | 44 | 53 |
| Goodridge |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 63.60\% | 75.00\% | 80.00\% | 91.70\% | 36.40\% | 68.20\% |
| Number mı | 7 | 15 | 12 | 11 | 8 | 15 |
| Total stude | 11 | 20 | 15 | 12 | 22 | 22 |
| Granada Huntley East Chain |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 68.80\% | 33.30\% | 69.20\% | 53.30\% | 55.00\% | 61.90\% |
| Number mı | 11 | 4 | 9 | 8 | 11 | 13 |
| Total stude | 16 | 12 | 13 | 15 | 20 | 21 |
| Grand Meadow |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 40.60\% | 48.70\% | 62.10\% | 41.90\% | 65.00\% | 51.50\% |
| Number mı | 13 | 19 | 18 | 13 | 26 | 17 |
| Total stude | 32 | 39 | 29 | 31 | 40 | 33 |
| Grand Rapids |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 56.10\% | 60.50\% | 63.90\% | 57.20\% | 55.20\% | 50.00\% |
| Number mı | 170 | 176 | 168 | 179 | 154 | 145 |
| Total stude | 303 | 291 | 263 | 313 | 279 | 290 |
| Greenbush-Middle River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 61.80\% | 69.00\% | 57.10\% | 53.60\% | 81.00\% | 65.20\% |
| Number mı | 21 | 20 | 12 | 15 | 17 | 15 |
| Total stude | 34 | 29 | 21 | 28 | 21 | 23 |
| Greenway |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 53.00\% | 54.10\% | 68.60\% | 60.30\% | 76.30\% | 67.20\% |
| Number mı | 44 | 40 | 48 | 41 | 58 | 43 |
| Total stude | 83 | 74 | 70 | 68 | 76 | 64 |
| Grygla |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 72.70\% N/A |  |  | 38.50\% |  | 33.30\% |
| Number mı | 8 N |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| Total stude | 11 | 7 | 9 | 13 | 9 | 15 |
| Hancock |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $m$ | 71.40\% | 85.00\% | 68.80\% | 83.90\% | 72.70\% | 63.20\% |
| Number mı | 15 | 17 | 22 | 26 | 24 | 12 |
| Total stude | 21 | 20 | 32 | 31 | 33 | 19 |
| Hastings |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent me | 63.00\% | 64.60\% | 66.70\% | 57.10\% | 52.40\% | 55.30\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number mı | 218 | 181 | 218 | 181 | 166 | 168 |
| Total stude | 346 | 280 | 327 | 317 | 317 | 304 |
| Hawley |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m | 74.30\% | 74.20\% | 65.10\% | 80.20\% | 67.60\% | 73.10\% |
| Number mı | 55 | 46 | 54 | 65 | 46 | 57 |
| Total stude | 74 | 62 | 83 | 81 | 68 | 78 |
| Hayfield |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 56.40\% | 69.80\% | 60.00\% | 57.10\% | 51.70\% | 48.90\% |
| Number mı | 31 | 44 | 30 | 32 | 31 | 23 |
| Total stude | 55 | 63 | 50 | 56 | 60 | 47 |
| Hendricks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent $m \in N$ |  |  | 81.80\% |  |  | 50.00\% |
| Number mıN |  |  | 9 |  |  | 5 |
| Total stude | 6 | 7 | 11 | 6 | 6 | 10 |
| Henning |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m | 48.00\% | 55.90\% | 68.80\% | 65.00\% | 62.10\% | 35.30\% |
| Number mı | 12 | 19 | 11 | 13 | 18 | 6 |
| Total stude | 25 | 34 | 16 | 20 | 29 | 17 |
| Herman-Norcross |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent $m \in N$ |  |  |  |  |  | 30.00\% |
| Number mıN |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Total stude | 9 | 5 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Hermantown |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 62.70\% | 61.70\% | 70.70\% | 66.00\% | 69.90\% | 65.60\% |
| Number mı | 96 | 92 | 116 | 95 | 100 | 107 |
| Total stude | 153 | 149 | 164 | 144 | 143 | 163 |
| Heron Lake-Okabena |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 34.60\% | 53.80\% | 65.00\% | 70.60\% | 57.90\% | 64.70\% |
| Number mı | 9 | 7 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 |
| Total stude | 26 | 13 | 20 | 17 | 19 | 17 |
| Hibbing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 66.30\% | 60.60\% | 64.40\% | 65.70\% | 60.60\% | 60.40\% |
| Number mı | 112 | 109 | 130 | 117 | 117 | 110 |
| Total stude | 169 | 180 | 202 | 178 | 193 | 182 |
| Hill City |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 71.40\% | 75.00\% | 64.70\% | 66.70\% | 42.90\% | 23.50\% |
| Number mı | 20 | 18 | 11 | 12 | 9 | 4 |
| Total stude | 28 | 24 | 17 | 18 | 21 | 17 |
| Hills-Beaver Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 73.20\% | 52.20\% | 72.40\% | 62.10\% | 76.50\% | 79.20\% |
| Number mı | 30 | 12 | 21 | 18 | 26 | 19 |
| Total stude | 41 | 23 | 29 | 29 | 34 | 24 |
| Hinckley-Finlayson |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 35.10\% | 40.50\% | 37.80\% | 49.40\% | 44.70\% | 44.30\% |
| Number mı | 26 | 30 | 28 | 38 | 38 | 39 |
| Total stude | 74 | 74 | 74 | 77 | 85 | 88 |

Holdingford

| Percent me | 63.80\% | 56.90\% | 60.50\% | 82.10\% | 74.70\% | 66.70\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number mı | 37 | 33 | 46 | 69 | 74 | 46 |
| Total stude | 58 | 58 | 76 | 84 | 99 | 69 |
| Hopkins |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 59.10\% | 62.30\% | 57.50\% | 56.30\% | 61.00\% | 60.60\% |
| Number mı | 300 | 282 | 262 | 272 | 317 | 284 |
| Total stude | 508 | 453 | 456 | 483 | 520 | 469 |
| Houston |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 55.00\% | 60.20\% | 57.80\% | 52.40\% | 59.80\% | 50.60\% |
| Number mı | 82 | 80 | 59 | 65 | 61 | 45 |
| Total stude | 149 | 133 | 102 | 124 | 102 | 89 |
| Howard Lake-Waverly-Winsted |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 65.80\% | 65.20\% | 63.10\% | 65.20\% | 50.00\% | 62.20\% |
| Number mı | 52 | 58 | 53 | 73 | 49 | 61 |
| Total stude | 79 | 89 | 84 | 112 | 98 | 98 |
| Hutchinson |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 65.60\% | 67.70\% | 71.10\% | 63.80\% | 63.70\% | 61.30\% |
| Number mı | 147 | 147 | 128 | 136 | 135 | 119 |
| Total stude | 224 | 217 | 180 | 213 | 212 | 194 |
| Independent PRIMARY SCHOOL DISTRICTS 728 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $m$ | 63.00\% | 66.40\% | 71.70\% | 68.00\% | 71.40\% | 65.20\% |
| Number mı | 621 | 642 | 708 | 659 | 747 | 629 |
| Total stude | 985 | 967 | 988 | 969 | 1,046 | 965 |

International Falls

| Percent $m \epsilon$ | $55.40 \%$ | $59.70 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number $m_{1}$ | 56 | 46 |
| Total stude | 101 | 77 |

Inver Grove Heights

| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $57.60 \%$ | $59.40 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number $m_{1}$ | 182 | 151 |
| Total stude | 316 | 254 |
| Isle |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $24.10 \%$ | $48.70 \%$ |
| Number $m_{1}$ | 7 | 19 |
| Total stude | 29 | 39 |

Ivanhoe

| Percent $m \epsilon$ | $26.70 \%$ | $61.50 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number $m ı$ | 4 | 8 |
| Total stude | 15 | 13 |

Jackson County Central

| Percent m m | $71.40 \%$ | $67.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number $\mathrm{m}_{1}$ | 65 | 59 |
| Total stude | 91 | 88 |

$63.30 \%$
50
79
60.00\% 63.80\%
60.20\%

Total stude Janesville-Waldorf-Pemberton
Percent m€ 56.00\% 66.70\%
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Number mı } & 28 & 26 & 26\end{array}$
50.00\% 40.40\%
68.10\%
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| Total stude | 50 | 39 | 45 | 52 | 47 | 47 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jordan |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 54.40\% | 61.60\% | 66.00\% | 70.20\% | 59.20\% | 47.20\% |
| Number mı | 68 | 85 | 99 | 85 | 84 | 67 |
| Total stude | 125 | 138 | 150 | 121 | 142 | 142 |
| Kasson-Mantorville |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 73.60\% | 71.30\% | 72.80\% | 71.20\% | 52.10\% | 64.50\% |
| Number mı | 117 | 117 | 118 | 104 | 88 | 109 |
| Total stude | 159 | 164 | 162 | 146 | 169 | 169 |
| Kelliher |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 23.50\% | 15.80\% | 50.00\% | 40.90\% | 41.70\% | 45.50\% |
| Number mı | 4 | 3 | 12 | 9 | 10 | 10 |
| Total stude | 17 | 19 | 24 | 22 | 24 | 22 |
| Kenyon-Wanamingo |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 59.30\% | 47.30\% | 65.60\% | 61.80\% | 44.10\% | 63.60\% |
| Number mı | 35 | 26 | 40 | 42 | 30 | 35 |
| Total stude | 59 | 55 | 61 | 68 | 68 | 55 |
| Kerkhoven-Murdock-Sunburg |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 37.70\% | 46.00\% | 55.40\% | 46.40\% | 41.10\% | 37.50\% |
| Number mı | 20 | 23 | 31 | 26 | 23 | 24 |
| Total stude | 53 | 50 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 64 |
| Kimball |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 49.20\% | 55.40\% | 52.30\% | 76.90\% | 67.20\% | 57.90\% |
| Number mı | 29 | 31 | 23 | 40 | 39 | 33 |
| Total stude | 59 | 56 | 44 | 52 | 58 | 57 |
| Kingsland |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 66.00\% | 48.70\% | 56.90\% | 51.00\% | 56.90\% | 67.70\% |
| Number mı | 35 | 19 | 33 | 25 | 29 | 21 |
| Total stude | 53 | 39 | 58 | 49 | 51 | 31 |
| Kittson Central |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 66.70\% | 92.60\% | 71.40\% | 73.90\% | 78.60\% | 68.40\% |
| Number mı | 16 | 25 | 15 | 17 | 11 | 13 |
| Total stude | 24 | 27 | 21 | 23 | 14 | 19 |
| La Crescent-Hokah |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 58.40\% | 53.90\% | 67.10\% | 51.10\% | 61.60\% | 56.50\% |
| Number mı | 52 | 41 | 57 | 48 | 45 | 39 |
| Total stude | 89 | 76 | 85 | 94 | 73 | 69 |
| Lac Qui Parle Valley |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 55.00\% | 69.00\% | 39.70\% | 46.60\% | 56.10\% | 64.00\% |
| Number mı | 33 | 40 | 23 | 34 | 32 | 32 |
| Total stude | 60 | 58 | 58 | 73 | 57 | 50 |
| Lake Benton |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 27.30\% | 50.00\% | 50.00\% | 77.30\% | 47.60\% | 75.00\% |
| Number mı | 3 | 8 | 9 | 17 | 10 | 15 |
| Total stude | 11 | 16 | 18 | 22 | 21 | 20 |
| Lake City |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 65.70\% | 67.80\% | 75.00\% | 63.60\% | 59.80\% | 68.60\% |


| Number mı | 65 | 61 | 69 | 68 | 61 | 59 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total stude | 99 | 90 | 92 | 107 | 102 | 86 |
| Lake Crystal-Wellcome Memorial |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 69.80\% | 57.60\% | 82.10\% | 80.30\% | 65.80\% | 68.60\% |
| Number mı | 44 | 38 | 55 | 57 | 50 | 48 |
| Total stude | 63 | 66 | 67 | 71 | 76 | 70 |
| Lake Of The Woods |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 26.50\% | 33.30\% | 41.90\% | 52.80\% | 37.50\% | 43.30\% |
| Number mı | 13 | 11 | 18 | 19 | 12 | 13 |
| Total stude | 49 | 33 | 43 | 36 | 32 | 30 |
| Lake Park Audubon |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 61.80\% | 75.80\% | 75.60\% | 61.40\% | 70.20\% | 63.50\% |
| Number mı | 34 | 47 | 34 | 43 | 33 | 33 |
| Total stude | 55 | 62 | 45 | 70 | 47 | 52 |
| Lake Superior |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 63.50\% | 62.30\% | 70.80\% | 51.50\% | 64.30\% | 51.90\% |
| Number mı | 54 | 48 | 63 | 52 | 54 | 54 |
| Total stude | 85 | 77 | 89 | 101 | 84 | 104 |
| Lakeview |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 64.30\% | 63.40\% | 47.70\% | 55.60\% | 53.70\% | 67.40\% |
| Number mı | 18 | 26 | 21 | 30 | 29 | 31 |
| Total stude | 28 | 41 | 44 | 54 | 54 | 46 |
| Lakeville |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 77.60\% | 76.20\% | 77.90\% | 75.10\% | 78.50\% | 70.00\% |
| Number mı | 585 | 577 | 623 | 552 | 592 | 542 |
| Total stude | 754 | 757 | 800 | 735 | 754 | 774 |
| Lancaster |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 72.70\% | 100.00\% |  | 66.70\% | 84.60\% | 46.70\% |
| Number mı | 8 |  |  | 10 | 11 | 7 |
| Total stude | 11 | 11 | 6 | 15 | 13 | 15 |
| Lanesboro |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 70.00\% | 81.80\% | 72.40\% | 46.20\% | 95.50\% | 91.70\% |
| Number mı | 21 | 18 | 21 | 12 | 21 | 22 |
| Total stude | 30 | 22 | 29 | 26 | 22 | 24 |
| Laporte |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 41.20\% | 19.00\% | 52.20\% | 34.80\% | 45.50\% | 57.10\% |
| Number mı | 7 | 4 | 12 | 8 | 15 | 12 |
| Total stude | 17 | 21 | 23 | 23 | 33 | 21 |
| Le Sueur-Henderson |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 47.20\% | 66.30\% | 49.30\% | 63.20\% | 51.70\% | 48.20\% |
| Number mı | 42 | 61 | 35 | 43 | 31 | 41 |
| Total stude | 89 | 92 | 71 | 68 | 60 | 85 |
| LeRoy-Ostrander |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 53.80\% | 62.10\% | 66.70\% | 77.30\% | 47.20\% | 71.40\% |
| Number mı | 14 | 18 | 12 | 17 | 17 | 15 |
| Total stude | 26 | 29 | 18 | 22 | 36 | 21 |
| Lester Prairi |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 65.50\% | 60.70\% | 60.50\% | 67.90\% | 47.10\% | 63.60\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number mı | 19 | 17 | 26 | 19 | 16 | 21 |
| Total stude | 29 | 28 | 43 | 28 | 34 | 33 |
| Lewiston-Altura |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 51.50\% | 79.50\% | 64.90\% | 68.20\% | 57.90\% | 64.70\% |
| Number mı | 34 | 35 | 37 | 30 | 33 | 33 |
| Total stude | 66 | 44 | 57 | 44 | 57 | 51 |
| Litchfield |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 64.10\% | 57.60\% | 49.60\% | 56.80\% | 56.80\% | 49.50\% |
| Number mı | 75 | 72 | 62 | 54 | 63 | 50 |
| Total stude | 117 | 125 | 125 | 95 | 111 | 101 |
| Little Falls |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 45.90\% | 49.70\% | 49.70\% | 41.50\% | 43.10\% | 41.20\% |
| Number mı | 78 | 90 | 90 | 83 | 75 | 75 |
| Total stude | 170 | 181 | 181 | 200 | 174 | 182 |
| Littlefork-Big Falls |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 66.70\% | 61.90\% | 59.30\% | 57.10\% | 46.20\% | 54.20\% |
| Number mı | 8 | 13 | 16 | 16 | 12 | 13 |
| Total stude | 12 | 21 | 27 | 28 | 26 | 24 |
| Long Prairie-Grey Eagle |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 52.70\% | 36.90\% | 40.60\% | 37.30\% | 39.30\% | 42.00\% |
| Number mı | 29 | 24 | 28 | 25 | 22 | 29 |
| Total stude | 55 | 65 | 69 | 67 | 56 | 69 |
| Luverne |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 65.60\% | 69.40\% | 67.10\% | 59.00\% | 76.60\% | 77.80\% |
| Number mı | 61 | 59 | 57 | 59 | 72 | 56 |
| Total stude | 93 | 85 | 85 | 100 | 94 | 72 |
| Lyle |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 33.30\% | 56.30\% | 22.20\% | 31.60\% | 41.40\% | 53.80\% |
| Number mı | 4 | 9 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 7 |
| Total stude | 12 | 16 | 18 | 19 | 29 | 13 |
| Lynd |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 46.20\% | 20.00\% | 35.30\% | 50.00\% | 26.70\% | 47.60\% |
| Number mı | 6 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 10 |
| Total stude | 13 | 10 | 17 | 18 | 15 | 21 |
| M.A.C.C.R.A.Y. (Maynard-Clara City-Raymond) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 38.20\% | 47.10\% | 44.80\% | 57.90\% | 46.60\% | 55.60\% |
| Number mı | 21 | 24 | 26 | 33 | 27 | 30 |
| Total stude | 55 | 51 | 58 | 57 | 58 | 54 |
| Mabel-Canton |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 21.40\% | 55.60\% | 72.70\% | 70.40\% | 38.50\% | 31.30\% |
| Number mı | 3 | 10 | 8 | 19 | 5 | 5 |
| Total stude | 14 | 18 | 11 | 27 | 13 | 16 |
| Madelia |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 57.50\% | 38.10\% | 51.20\% | 50.00\% | 53.80\% | 43.20\% |
| Number mı | 23 | 8 | 21 | 19 | 21 | 16 |
| Total stude | 40 | 21 | 41 | 38 | 39 | 37 |

Mahnomen

| Percent m€ | $37.80 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number mı | 14 |
| Total stude | 37 |
| Mahtomedi |  |
| Percent m€ | $73.00 \%$ |
| Number mı | 162 |
| Total stude | 222 |
| Mankato |  |
| Percent mє | $67.30 \%$ |
| Number mı | 397 |
| Total stude | 590 |
| Maple Lake |  |
| Percent m€ | $62.10 \%$ |
| Number mı | 41 |
| Total stude | 66 |
| Maple River |  |
| Percent m | $63.80 \%$ |
| Number mı | 44 |
| Total stude | 69 |

Marshall

| Percent m€ | $56.70 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number mı | 85 |
| Total stude | 150 |

Marshall County Central

| Percent m€ | $65.50 \%$ | $51.70 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 19 | 15 |
| Total stude | 29 | 29 |

Martin County West

| Percent m€ | $58.30 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number mı | 28 |
| Total stude | 48 |

McGregor

| Percent mє | $60.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number mı | 18 |
| Total stude | 30 |

Medford

| Percent m€ | $57.10 \%$ | $64.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 40 | 48 |
| Total stude | 70 | 75 |

Melrose
Percent m $\epsilon$
Number mı
Total stude
34.70\% 44.40\%

Menahga
Percent m $\epsilon$
Number mı
54.90\%
$55.40 \%$
36
65
51.60\%

33
64
71.40\%

60
48.40\%

15
31
73.00\%
66.40\% 69.90\%

154
232
68.90\%

461
669
60.70\%
34

56
80.60\%

58
72
52.60\%
$57.90 \%$
99
171
$52.10 \%$
87
167

| $65.00 \%$ | $52.20 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 115 | 93 |
| 177 | 178 |


| $47.60 \%$ | $62.50 \%$ | $55.30 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 20 | 21 |
| 21 | 32 | 38 |


| $67.20 \%$ | $49.10 \%$ | $40.70 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 41 | 26 | 22 |
| 61 | 53 | 54 |

54
51.30\%

20
39
43.90\%

29
66
59.40\%

57
96
61.30\%
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| Total stude | 91 | 84 | 70 | 87 | 88 | 80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mesabi East |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 67.10\% | 55.70\% | 62.50\% | 64.90\% | 69.90\% | 45.50\% |
| Number mı | 53 | 34 | 35 | 50 | 51 | 35 |
| Total stude | 79 | 61 | 56 | 77 | 73 | 77 |
| Milaca |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 48.70\% | 54.30\% | 64.00\% | 62.50\% | 56.80\% | 57.20\% |
| Number mı | 58 | 89 | 89 | 90 | 75 | 79 |
| Total stude | 119 | 164 | 139 | 144 | 132 | 138 |
| Minneapolis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 42.00\% | 41.00\% | 41.10\% | 41.10\% | 42.70\% | 42.80\% |
| Number mı | 1,205 | 1,225 | 1,217 | 1,229 | 1,229 | 1,176 |
| Total stude | 2,872 | 2,991 | 2,962 | 2,992 | 2,875 | 2,748 |
| Minneota |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 43.80\% | 69.20\% | 51.70\% | 68.80\% | 66.70\% | 64.70\% |
| Number mı | 14 | 18 | 15 | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| Total stude | 32 | 26 | 29 | 32 | 33 | 34 |
| Minnetonka |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent $\mathrm{m} \epsilon$ | 77.80\% | 78.90\% | 79.60\% | 77.60\% | 76.80\% | 74.80\% |
| Number mı | 563 | 597 | 612 | 630 | 602 | 610 |
| Total stude | 724 | 757 | 769 | 812 | 784 | 815 |
| Minnewaska |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 59.50\% | 63.80\% | 59.70\% | 67.50\% | 60.20\% | 65.30\% |
| Number mı | 47 | 44 | 46 | 56 | 59 | 62 |
| Total stude | 79 | 69 | 77 | 83 | 98 | 95 |
| Montevideo |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 44.50\% | 63.40\% | 52.90\% | 57.10\% | 61.80\% | 58.40\% |
| Number mı | 49 | 64 | 55 | 60 | 63 | 59 |
| Total stude | 110 | 101 | 104 | 105 | 102 | 101 |
| Monticello |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 70.00\% | 55.40\% | 60.70\% | 66.00\% | 65.10\% | 65.20\% |
| Number mı | 224 | 155 | 212 | 212 | 203 | 193 |
| Total stude | 320 | 280 | 349 | 321 | 312 | 296 |
| Moorhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 53.50\% | 54.60\% | 59.80\% | 53.90\% | 48.00\% | 43.60\% |
| Number mı | 231 | 225 | 271 | 265 | 252 | 227 |
| Total stude | 432 | 412 | 453 | 492 | 525 | 521 |
| Moose Lake |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 58.80\% | 64.10\% | 73.90\% | 56.60\% | 50.90\% | 50.00\% |
| Number mı | 30 | 25 | 34 | 30 | 29 | 24 |
| Total stude | 51 | 39 | 46 | 53 | 57 | 48 |
| Mora |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 77.00\% | 56.50\% | 65.50\% | 61.40\% | 66.70\% | 59.10\% |
| Number mı | 107 | 78 | 76 | 81 | 82 | 68 |
| Total stude | 139 | 138 | 116 | 132 | 123 | 115 |
| Morris |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 70.60\% |  |  |  |  |  |

Number mı 60
Total stude 85
Morris Area
Percent meeting or exı
Number meeting or ex
Total students (for whi
79.50\%

62
69.10\% 7

| $73.30 \%$ | $63.80 \%$ | $71.30 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 55 | 44 | 57 |
| 75 | 69 | 80 |

Mounds View

| Percent m€ | $63.20 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number mı | 464 |
| Total stude | 734 |

Mountain Iron-Buhl

| Percent mє | $66.70 \%$ | $60.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 26 | 21 |
| Total stude | 39 | 35 |

Mountain Lake

| Percent m€ | $69.20 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number mı | 27 |
| Total stude | 39 |

Murray County Central

| Percent m€ | $68.50 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number mı | 37 |
| Total stude | 54 |

N.R.H.E.G. (New Richland-Hartland-Ellendale-Geneva)

| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $51.50 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number mı | 34 |
| Total stude | 66 |

Nashwauk-Keewatin

| Percent m€ | $77.10 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number mı | 27 |
| Total stude | 35 |

Nett Lake

| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $33.30 \%$ | $10.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 7 | 1 |
| Total stude | 21 | 10 |


| $57.10 \% ~ N / A$ | N/A |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $8 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| 14 | 8 |

69.20\%

9
13
Nevis

| Percent m€ | $50.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number mı | 19 |
| Total stude | 38 |

New London-Spicer

| Percent m€ | $60.40 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number mı | 64 |
| Total stude | 106 |

New Prague Area

| Percent m€ | $65.30 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number mı | 203 |
| Total stude | 311 |

$68.70 \%$
195
284
$75.60 \%$
223
295
$62.10 \%$
185
298

| $61.30 \%$ | $65.60 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 190 | 193 |
| 310 | 294 |

New Ulm

| Percent me | 55.90\% | 65.60\% | 52.50\% | 57.70\% | 49.10\% | 51.30\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number mı | 81 | 84 | 73 | 94 | 86 | 81 |
| Total stude | 145 | 128 | 139 | 163 | 175 | 158 |
| New York Mills |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 38.00\% | 48.10\% | 69.60\% | 43.10\% | 50.00\% | 60.70\% |
| Number mı | 19 | 26 | 32 | 28 | 30 | 37 |
| Total stude | 50 | 54 | 46 | 65 | 60 | 61 |
| Nicollet |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 52.00\% | 51.40\% | 70.40\% | 76.70\% | 56.00\% | 40.00\% |
| Number mı | 13 | 19 | 19 | 23 | 14 | 14 |
| Total stude | 25 | 37 | 27 | 30 | 25 | 35 |
| Norman County East |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 29.20\% | 36.40\% | 60.00\% | 42.10\% | 61.90\% | 54.20\% |
| Number mı | 7 | 8 | 12 | 8 | 13 | 13 |
| Total stude | 24 | 22 | 20 | 19 | 21 | 24 |
| Norman County West |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 58.80\% | 73.90\% | 73.30\% | 54.20\% | 61.50\% | 72.70\% |
| Number mı | 10 | 17 | 11 | 13 | 16 | 8 |
| Total stude | 17 | 23 | 15 | 24 | 26 | 11 |
| North Branch |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 65.90\% | 72.70\% | 71.20\% | 63.40\% | 64.00\% | 56.00\% |
| Number mı | 168 | 157 | 156 | 137 | 135 | 108 |
| Total stude | 255 | 216 | 219 | 216 | 211 | 193 |
| North St. Paul-Maplewood-Oakdale |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 53.60\% | 53.40\% | 57.10\% | 53.90\% | 52.80\% | 50.90\% |
| Number mı | 383 | 355 | 420 | 402 | 386 | 380 |
| Total stude | 714 | 665 | 735 | 746 | 731 | 747 |
| Northfield |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 65.80\% | 62.40\% | 61.70\% | 59.60\% | 56.90\% | 63.40\% |
| Number mı | 181 | 159 | 166 | 174 | 156 | 173 |
| Total stude | 275 | 255 | 269 | 292 | 274 | 273 |
| Northland Community |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 52.00\% | 45.50\% | 51.60\% | 26.90\% | 41.40\% | 40.00\% |
| Number mı | 13 | 15 | 16 | 7 | 12 | 8 |
| Total stude | 25 | 33 | 31 | 26 | 29 | 20 |
| Ogilvie |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 63.20\% | 55.60\% | 85.70\% | 59.60\% | 46.90\% | 73.00\% |
| Number mı | 24 | 20 | 36 | 28 | 15 | 27 |
| Total stude | 38 | 36 | 42 | 47 | 32 | 37 |
| Onamia |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 40.50\% | 44.40\% | 32.80\% | 43.80\% | 25.00\% | 34.80\% |
| Number mı | 15 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 11 | 16 |
| Total stude | 37 | 45 | 61 | 48 | 44 | 46 |
| Orono |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 72.00\% | 73.50\% | 76.80\% | 74.50\% | 69.50\% | 72.10\% |
| Number mı | 144 | 161 | 146 | 146 | 130 | 147 |
| Total stude | 200 | 219 | 190 | 196 | 187 | 204 |

Ortonville

| Percent me | 66.70\% | 78.80\% | 63.20\% | 71.40\% | 73.50\% | 63.60\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number mı | 18 | 26 | 24 | 25 | 25 | 21 |
| Total stude | 27 | 33 | 38 | 35 | 34 | 33 |
| Osakis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 58.10\% | 61.80\% | 64.20\% | 49.20\% | 53.60\% | 64.30\% |
| Number mı | 36 | 42 | 43 | 32 | 30 | 36 |
| Total stude | 62 | 68 | 67 | 65 | 56 | 56 |
| Osseo |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 51.20\% | 51.20\% | 50.40\% | 51.60\% | 53.60\% | 51.50\% |
| Number mı | 803 | 763 | 793 | 806 | 859 | 782 |
| Total stude | 1,568 | 1,489 | 1,574 | 1,562 | 1,603 | 1,519 |
| Owatonna |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 51.50\% | 55.30\% | 51.00\% | 55.70\% | 56.00\% | 53.40\% |
| Number mı | 201 | 178 | 179 | 205 | 218 | 198 |
| Total stude | 390 | 322 | 351 | 368 | 389 | 371 |
| Park Rapids |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 62.20\% | 66.00\% | 66.10\% | 61.80\% | 63.10\% | 59.00\% |
| Number mı | 61 | 95 | 82 | 84 | 65 | 82 |
| Total stude | 98 | 144 | 124 | 136 | 103 | 139 |
| Parkers Prairie |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 56.30\% | 57.40\% | 64.30\% | 43.20\% | 47.10\% | 78.30\% |
| Number mı | 18 | 27 | 27 | 16 | 24 | 18 |
| Total stude | 32 | 47 | 42 | 37 | 51 | 23 |
| Paynesville |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 68.10\% | 68.20\% | 80.00\% | 77.80\% | 75.00\% | 60.00\% |
| Number mı | 62 | 45 | 56 | 56 | 48 | 42 |
| Total stude | 91 | 66 | 70 | 72 | 64 | 70 |
| Pelican Rapids |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 42.10\% | 50.00\% | 40.80\% | 44.90\% | 42.60\% | 63.90\% |
| Number mı | 24 | 35 | 31 | 31 | 26 | 39 |
| Total stude | 57 | 70 | 76 | 69 | 61 | 61 |
| Pequot Lakes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 68.80\% | 76.10\% | 70.20\% | 62.90\% | 60.80\% | 65.20\% |
| Number mı | 75 | 102 | 85 | 78 | 73 | 73 |
| Total stude | 109 | 134 | 121 | 124 | 120 | 112 |
| Perham-Dent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 60.00\% | 63.00\% | 74.50\% | 59.00\% | 61.20\% | 64.00\% |
| Number mı | 57 | 51 | 73 | 59 | 60 | 73 |
| Total stude | 95 | 81 | 98 | 100 | 98 | 114 |
| Pierz |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 55.00\% | 75.70\% | 70.70\% | 74.60\% | 73.10\% | 64.80\% |
| Number mı | 44 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 68 | 59 |
| Total stude | 80 | 70 | 75 | 71 | 93 | 91 |
| Pillager |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 43.70\% | 56.20\% | 72.20\% | 68.30\% | 48.40\% | 41.20\% |
| Number mı | 31 | 41 | 57 | 56 | 46 | 35 |


| Total stude | 71 | 73 | 79 | 82 | 95 | 85 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pine City |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 54.40\% | 57.90\% | 62.80\% | 43.60\% | 54.40\% | 54.00\% |
| Number mı | 62 | 73 | 76 | 51 | 62 | 54 |
| Total stude | 114 | 126 | 121 | 117 | 114 | 100 |
| Pine Island |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 64.60\% | 63.90\% | 58.70\% | 77.00\% | 69.00\% | 72.30\% |
| Number mı | 64 | 53 | 54 | 67 | 80 | 68 |
| Total stude | 99 | 83 | 92 | 87 | 116 | 94 |
| Pine Point |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent $m \in N / A$ |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Number mıN/A |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Total stude | 6 | 8 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 8 |
| Pine River-Backus |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 47.50\% | 52.20\% | 68.50\% | 65.70\% | 58.80\% | 66.70\% |
| Number mı | 28 | 35 | 50 | 46 | 40 | 44 |
| Total stude | 59 | 67 | 73 | 70 | 68 | 66 |
| Pipestone Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 61.90\% | 61.20\% | 43.40\% | 50.00\% | 53.20\% | 50.00\% |
| Number mı | 52 | 52 | 43 | 44 | 42 | 44 |
| Total stude | 84 | 85 | 99 | 88 | 79 | 88 |
| Plainview-Elgin-Millville |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 65.30\% | 59.10\% | 63.90\% | 55.10\% | 77.00\% | 64.00\% |
| Number mı | 62 | 68 | 76 | 54 | 94 | 64 |
| Total stude | 95 | 115 | 119 | 98 | 122 | 100 |
| Plummer |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 80.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number mı | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total stude | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Princeton |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 53.80\% | 55.50\% | 64.00\% | 62.60\% | 68.90\% | 65.60\% |
| Number mı | 119 | 127 | 153 | 166 | 155 | 168 |
| Total stude | 221 | 229 | 239 | 265 | 225 | 256 |
| Prior Lake-Savage Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 70.50\% | 71.90\% | 70.40\% | 64.90\% | 63.20\% | 65.80\% |
| Number mı | 370 | 373 | 359 | 394 | 385 | 408 |
| Total stude | 525 | 519 | 510 | 607 | 609 | 620 |
| Proctor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 56.00\% | 55.30\% | 76.50\% | 61.60\% | 63.10\% | 57.10\% |
| Number mı | 70 | 68 | 104 | 77 | 89 | 76 |
| Total stude | 125 | 123 | 136 | 125 | 141 | 133 |
| Randolph |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 70.70\% | 93.90\% | 71.00\% | 70.00\% | 53.50\% | 51.60\% |
| Number mı | 29 | 46 | 44 | 35 | 23 | 33 |
| Total stude | 41 | 49 | 62 | 50 | 43 | 64 |
| Red Lake |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 24.10\% | 28.10\% | 34.60\% | 23.00\% | 19.40\% | 19.90\% |


| Number mı | 27 | 34 | 44 | 29 | 27 | 31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total stude | 112 | 121 | 127 | 126 | 139 | 156 |
| Red Lake County Central |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent mee | ing or exı | 60.00\% | 61.50\% | 71.40\% | 47.20\% | 70.60\% |
| Number me | ing or ex | 21 | 16 | 20 | 17 | 12 |
| Total studen | (for whi | 35 | 26 | 28 | 36 | 17 |
| Red Lake Falls |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 43.80\% | 75.90\% | 57.90\% | 70.00\% | 60.70\% | 71.40\% |
| Number mı | 14 | 22 | 11 | 14 | 17 | 15 |
| Total stude | 32 | 29 | 19 | 20 | 28 | 21 |
| Red Rock Central |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 66.70\% | 79.30\% | 63.00\% | 81.30\% | 53.30\% | 50.00\% |
| Number mı | 20 | 23 | 17 | 13 | 16 | 15 |
| Total stude | 30 | 29 | 27 | 16 | 30 | 30 |
| Red Wing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 61.10\% | 59.80\% | 54.70\% | 52.10\% | 62.40\% | 54.20\% |
| Number mı | 129 | 119 | 122 | 100 | 121 | 103 |
| Total stude | 211 | 199 | 223 | 192 | 194 | 190 |
| Redwood Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 57.70\% | 60.80\% | 59.30\% | 54.40\% | 48.90\% | 62.50\% |
| Number mı | 45 | 45 | 51 | 43 | 45 | 55 |
| Total stude | 78 | 74 | 86 | 79 | 92 | 88 |
| Renville County West |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 36.40\% | 44.80\% | 52.20\% | 51.40\% | 47.40\% | 41.00\% |
| Number mı | 16 | 13 | 24 | 18 | 18 | 16 |
| Total stude | 44 | 29 | 46 | 35 | 38 | 39 |
| Richfield |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 36.50\% | 44.00\% | 38.50\% | 40.90\% | 38.70\% | 31.90\% |
| Number mı | 118 | 139 | 147 | 148 | 141 | 104 |
| Total stude | 323 | 316 | 382 | 362 | 364 | 326 |
| Robbinsdale |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 49.60\% | 46.90\% | 49.90\% | 43.30\% | 47.50\% | 44.80\% |
| Number mı | 452 | 406 | 438 | 407 | 432 | 386 |
| Total stude | 911 | 865 | 877 | 940 | 910 | 862 |
| Rochester |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 57.10\% | 56.30\% | 59.30\% | 60.60\% | 57.40\% | 55.50\% |
| Number mı | 715 | 715 | 770 | 799 | 787 | 725 |
| Total stude | 1,252 | 1,271 | 1,298 | 1,319 | 1,371 | 1,306 |
| Rockford |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 58.50\% | 61.80\% | 63.90\% | 62.60\% | 57.00\% | 59.90\% |
| Number mı | 76 | 68 | 85 | 87 | 77 | 82 |
| Total stude | 130 | 110 | 133 | 139 | 135 | 137 |
| Rocori |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 48.50\% | 58.40\% | 58.50\% | 52.20\% | 47.80\% | 63.80\% |
| Number mı | 50 | 66 | 79 | 71 | 66 | 81 |
| Total stude | 103 | 113 | 135 | 136 | 138 | 127 |
| Roseau |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 68.30\% | 67.10\% | 69.30\% | 63.00\% | 63.30\% | 66.20\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number mı | 71 | 55 | 52 | 58 | 57 | 49 |
| Total stude | 104 | 82 | 75 | 92 | 90 | 74 |
| Rosemount-Apple Valley-Eagan |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 64.10\% | 62.90\% | 63.00\% | 58.60\% | 64.70\% | 62.90\% |
| Number mı | 1,251 | 1,253 | 1,287 | 1,192 | 1,322 | 1,319 |
| Total stude | 1,951 | 1,992 | 2,042 | 2,033 | 2,043 | 2,096 |
| Roseville |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 54.50\% | 58.40\% | 57.00\% | 51.80\% | 50.80\% | 51.60\% |
| Number mı | 276 | 298 | 347 | 297 | 297 | 286 |
| Total stude | 506 | 510 | 609 | 573 | 585 | 554 |
| Rothsay |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 55.60\% | 32.00\% | 43.30\% | 34.40\% | 30.80\% | 56.00\% |
| Number mı | 10 | 8 | 13 | 11 | 8 | 14 |
| Total stude | 18 | 25 | 30 | 32 | 26 | 25 |
| Round Lake-Brewster |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent mee | ng or exı | 46.70\% | 50.00\% | 43.80\% | 56.00\% | 33.30\% |
| Number mee | ing or ex | 7 | 10 | 7 | 14 | 6 |
| Total studen | (for whi | 15 | 20 | 16 | 25 | 18 |
| Royalton |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 63.40\% | 56.80\% | 65.70\% | 41.40\% | 50.00\% | 39.40\% |
| Number mı | 45 | 42 | 46 | 36 | 33 | 26 |
| Total stude | 71 | 74 | 70 | 87 | 66 | 66 |
| RTR (Russell-Tyler-Ruthton) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 67.30\% | 54.50\% | 68.00\% | 54.50\% | 62.00\% | 67.40\% |
| Number mı | 33 | 24 | 34 | 24 | 31 | 31 |
| Total stude | 49 | 44 | 50 | 44 | 50 | 46 |
| Rush City |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 54.00\% | 68.10\% | 77.60\% | 54.70\% | 72.70\% | 54.40\% |
| Number mı | 34 | 47 | 59 | 35 | 40 | 37 |
| Total stude | 63 | 69 | 76 | 64 | 55 | 68 |
| Rushford-Peterson |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 67.40\% | 54.20\% | 85.70\% | 80.90\% | 66.70\% | 60.00\% |
| Number mı | 29 | 26 | 42 | 38 | 40 | 33 |
| Total stude | 43 | 48 | 49 | 47 | 60 | 55 |
| Sartell-St. Stephen |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 65.50\% | 65.10\% | 71.30\% | 74.50\% | 74.90\% | 70.40\% |
| Number mı | 186 | 190 | 241 | 205 | 209 | 202 |
| Total stude | 284 | 292 | 338 | 275 | 279 | 287 |
| Sauk Centre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 60.40\% | 55.20\% | 57.50\% | 50.00\% | 57.90\% | 44.10\% |
| Number mı | 32 | 32 | 42 | 30 | 44 | 41 |
| Total stude | 53 | 58 | 73 | 60 | 76 | 93 |
| Sauk Rapids-Rice |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 58.20\% | 65.10\% | 55.50\% | 48.60\% | 52.20\% | 48.20\% |
| Number mı | 159 | 183 | 186 | 153 | 176 | 170 |
| Total stude | 273 | 281 | 335 | 315 | 337 | 353 |

Sebeka

| Percent me | 67.90\% | 27.70\% | 73.00\% | 61.80\% | 62.50\% | 52.50\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number mı | 19 | 13 | 27 | 21 | 30 | 21 |
| Total stude | 28 | 47 | 37 | 34 | 48 | 40 |
| Shakopee |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 59.50\% | 65.40\% | 65.60\% | 65.10\% | 63.00\% | 62.20\% |
| Number mı | 376 | 420 | 410 | 421 | 395 | 357 |
| Total stude | 632 | 642 | 625 | 647 | 627 | 574 |
| Sibley East |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 50.50\% | 48.90\% | 57.30\% | 51.70\% | 43.40\% | 48.80\% |
| Number mı | 48 | 44 | 59 | 45 | 36 | 41 |
| Total stude | 95 | 90 | 103 | 87 | 83 | 84 |
| Sleepy Eye |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 78.30\% | 78.90\% | 91.90\% | 90.60\% | 77.80\% | 74.10\% |
| Number mı | 36 | 30 | 34 | 29 | 28 | 20 |
| Total stude | 46 | 38 | 37 | 32 | 36 | 27 |
| South Koochiching |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 68.40\% | 42.90\% | 42.90\% | 50.00\% | 33.30\% | 48.00\% |
| Number mı | 13 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 6 | 12 |
| Total stude | 19 | 28 | 28 | 20 | 18 | 25 |
| South St. Paul |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 51.50\% | 49.40\% | 48.60\% | 48.20\% | 49.40\% | 47.70\% |
| Number mı | 134 | 133 | 135 | 132 | 130 | 124 |
| Total stude | 260 | 269 | 278 | 274 | 263 | 260 |
| South Washington County |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 64.90\% | 64.50\% | 66.70\% | 67.30\% | 64.20\% | 65.40\% |
| Number mı | 828 | 842 | 887 | 868 | 915 | 908 |
| Total stude | 1,275 | 1,305 | 1,329 | 1,289 | 1,425 | 1,388 |
| Southland |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 52.00\% | 26.90\% | 38.50\% | 38.70\% | 41.70\% | 56.80\% |
| Number mı | 13 | 7 | 10 | 12 | 15 | 21 |
| Total stude | 25 | 26 | 26 | 31 | 36 | 37 |
| Spring Grove |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 37.50\% | 44.00\% | 66.70\% | 72.50\% | 81.10\% | 62.50\% |
| Number mı | 9 | 11 | 12 | 29 | 30 | 10 |
| Total stude | 24 | 25 | 18 | 40 | 37 | 16 |
| Spring Lake Park |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 52.70\% | 56.70\% | 55.00\% | 58.30\% | 57.20\% | 52.60\% |
| Number mı | 243 | 233 | 265 | 274 | 274 | 231 |
| Total stude | 461 | 411 | 482 | 470 | 479 | 439 |
| Springfield |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 63.00\% | 80.50\% | 58.10\% | 68.80\% | 68.80\% | 56.80\% |
| Number mı | 29 | 33 | 18 | 33 | 33 | 21 |
| Total stude | 46 | 41 | 31 | 48 | 48 | 37 |
| St. Anthony-New Brighton |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 83.60\% | 72.20\% | 69.60\% | 77.40\% | 79.10\% | 78.80\% |
| Number mı | 97 | 83 | 80 | 89 | 91 | 93 |


| Total stude | 116 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 118 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| St. Charles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 68.70\% | 58.80\% | 65.20\% | 51.20\% | 64.20\% | 70.00\% |
| Number mı | 46 | 47 | 43 | 43 | 52 | 49 |
| Total stude | 67 | 80 | 66 | 84 | 81 | 70 |
| St. Clair |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 61.90\% | 62.30\% | 57.40\% | 57.70\% | 69.50\% | 78.40\% |
| Number mı | 26 | 33 | 31 | 30 | 41 | 40 |
| Total stude | 42 | 53 | 54 | 52 | 59 | 51 |
| St. Cloud |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 41.30\% | 41.50\% | 47.40\% | 45.90\% | 46.10\% | 39.60\% |
| Number mı | 277 | 299 | 360 | 338 | 299 | 260 |
| Total stude | 671 | 721 | 759 | 737 | 648 | 656 |
| St. Francis Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 61.90\% | 67.00\% | 63.40\% | 60.00\% | 57.00\% | 60.50\% |
| Number mı | 213 | 233 | 204 | 198 | 183 | 187 |
| Total stude | 344 | 348 | 322 | 330 | 321 | 309 |
| St. James |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 45.60\% | 52.30\% | 66.70\% | 60.70\% | 71.00\% | 56.50\% |
| Number mı | 26 | 34 | 54 | 37 | 49 | 39 |
| Total stude | 57 | 65 | 81 | 61 | 69 | 69 |
| St. Louis County |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 54.20\% | 48.40\% | 56.00\% | 47.30\% | 51.10\% | 50.80\% |
| Number mı | 77 | 74 | 75 | 71 | 70 | 67 |
| Total stude | 142 | 153 | 134 | 150 | 137 | 132 |
| St. Louis Park |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 62.30\% | 60.60\% | 59.80\% | 64.10\% | 62.60\% | 60.60\% |
| Number mı | 203 | 203 | 207 | 236 | 221 | 215 |
| Total stude | 326 | 335 | 346 | 368 | 353 | 355 |
| St. Michael-Albertville |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 66.40\% | 66.90\% | 76.00\% | 76.70\% | 75.00\% | 79.20\% |
| Number mı | 305 | 318 | 352 | 358 | 351 | 335 |
| Total stude | 459 | 475 | 463 | 467 | 468 | 423 |
| St. Paul |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 37.20\% | 41.10\% | 36.40\% | 36.50\% | 33.90\% | 34.30\% |
| Number mı | 1,079 | 1,158 | 1,077 | 1,092 | 995 | 976 |
| Total stude | 2,900 | 2,820 | 2,957 | 2,991 | 2,936 | 2,844 |
| St. Peter |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 77.40\% | 70.10\% | 70.40\% | 65.10\% | 64.90\% | 58.70\% |
| Number mı | 113 | 103 | 107 | 95 | 100 | 98 |
| Total stude | 146 | 147 | 152 | 146 | 154 | 167 |
| Staples-Motley |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 52.70\% | 50.00\% | 55.60\% | 33.30\% | 40.80\% | 32.40\% |
| Number mı | 48 | 46 | 45 | 31 | 31 | 22 |
| Total stude | 91 | 92 | 81 | 93 | 76 | 68 |
| Stephen-Argyle Central |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 61.50\% | 66.70\% | 65.20\% | 55.00\% | 84.20\% | 60.90\% |


| Number mı | 16 | 12 | 15 | 11 | 16 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total stude | 26 | 18 | 23 | 20 | 19 | 23 |
| Stewartville |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 65.90\% | 56.10\% | 66.70\% | 66.70\% | 64.50\% | 61.20\% |
| Number mı | 91 | 105 | 108 | 94 | 120 | 93 |
| Total stude | 138 | 187 | 162 | 141 | 186 | 152 |
| Stillwater Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 74.50\% | 68.30\% | 73.30\% | 66.70\% | 68.00\% | 63.10\% |
| Number mı | 420 | 390 | 407 | 332 | 367 | 372 |
| Total stude | 564 | 571 | 555 | 498 | 540 | 590 |
| Swanville |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 55.20\% | 69.70\% | 72.20\% | 37.90\% | 50.00\% | 58.10\% |
| Number mı | 16 | 23 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 18 |
| Total stude | 29 | 33 | 18 | 29 | 20 | 31 |
| Thief River Falls |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 50.70\% | 56.30\% | 58.60\% | 53.70\% | 46.60\% | 52.60\% |
| Number mı | 69 | 81 | 82 | 80 | 69 | 82 |
| Total stude | 136 | 144 | 140 | 149 | 148 | 156 |
| Tracy Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 62.70\% | 62.70\% | 72.50\% | 66.00\% | 55.60\% | 56.30\% |
| Number mı | 37 | 42 | 29 | 35 | 20 | 27 |
| Total stude | 59 | 67 | 40 | 53 | 36 | 48 |
| Tri-City United |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 64.40\% | 48.10\% | 54.70\% | 52.30\% | 56.90\% | 52.50\% |
| Number mı | 96 | 64 | 88 | 81 | 78 | 85 |
| Total stude | 149 | 133 | 161 | 155 | 137 | 162 |
| Tri-County |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 76.50\% | 76.90\% |  | 91.70\% | 77.80\% | 80.00\% |
| Number mı | 13 |  |  | 11 | 14 | 8 |
| Total stude | 17 | 13 | 8 | 12 | 18 | 10 |
| Triton |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 45.90\% | 62.00\% | 62.60\% | 62.70\% | 52.60\% | 51.30\% |
| Number mı | 45 | 57 | 57 | 47 | 50 | 40 |
| Total stude | 98 | 92 | 91 | 75 | 95 | 78 |
| Truman |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 33.30\% | 54.50\% | 23.80\% | 50.00\% | 33.30\% | 21.10\% |
| Number mı | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 4 |
| Total stude | 18 | 11 | 21 | 12 | 15 | 19 |
| Ulen-Hitterdal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 37.00\% | 40.90\% | 60.70\% | 42.10\% | 33.30\% | 64.00\% |
| Number mı | 10 | 9 | 17 | 8 | 7 | 16 |
| Total stude | 27 | 22 | 28 | 19 | 21 | 25 |
| Underwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 66.00\% | 60.00\% | 51.20\% | 45.50\% | 53.30\% | 58.30\% |
| Number mı | 31 | 27 | 21 | 20 | 24 | 28 |
| Total stude | 47 | 45 | 41 | 44 | 45 | 48 |
| United South | Central |  |  |  |  |  |


| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 51.00\% | 66.70\% | 63.20\% | 61.40\% | 62.20\% | 54.40\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number mı | 25 | 22 | 24 | 35 | 28 | 31 |
| Total stude | 49 | 33 | 38 | 57 | 45 | 57 |
| Upsala |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 59.40\% | 56.50\% | 57.70\% | 54.20\% | 55.20\% | 50.00\% |
| Number mı | 19 | 13 | 15 | 13 | 16 | 15 |
| Total stude | 32 | 23 | 26 | 24 | 29 | 30 |
| Verndale |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 42.10\% | 56.80\% | 80.00\% | 39.60\% | 45.90\% | 52.40\% |
| Number mı | 16 | 21 | 32 | 19 | 17 | 22 |
| Total stude | 38 | 37 | 40 | 48 | 37 | 42 |
| Virginia |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 71.10\% | 71.90\% | 68.70\% | 71.00\% | 69.60\% | 54.70\% |
| Number mı | 81 | 87 | 90 | 98 | 103 | 58 |
| Total stude | 114 | 121 | 131 | 138 | 148 | 106 |
| Wabasha-Kellogg |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 84.00\% | 61.80\% | 64.50\% | 52.60\% | 59.00\% | 55.30\% |
| Number mı | 21 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 23 | 21 |
| Total stude | 25 | 34 | 31 | 38 | 39 | 38 |
| Wabasso |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 39.40\% | 44.40\% | 44.40\% | 63.20\% | 37.50\% | 56.30\% |
| Number mı | 13 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 9 | 9 |
| Total stude | 33 | 18 | 27 | 19 | 24 | 16 |
| Waconia |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 74.50\% | 71.60\% | 70.80\% | 74.30\% | 76.40\% | 66.70\% |
| Number mı | 193 | 187 | 213 | 217 | 217 | 190 |
| Total stude | 259 | 261 | 301 | 292 | 284 | 285 |
| Wadena-Deer Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 60.40\% | 61.80\% | 63.60\% | 55.80\% | 57.90\% | 44.20\% |
| Number mı | 32 | 47 | 49 | 48 | 44 | 34 |
| Total stude | 53 | 76 | 77 | 86 | 76 | 77 |
| Walker-Hackensack-Akeley |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 30.00\% | 48.10\% | 42.50\% | 46.00\% | 46.80\% | 42.90\% |
| Number mı | 18 | 26 | 17 | 29 | 22 | 21 |
| Total stude | 60 | 54 | 40 | 63 | 47 | 49 |
| Warren-Alvarado-Oslo |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 65.40\% | 58.80\% | 60.00\% | 39.50\% | 53.10\% | 44.80\% |
| Number mı | 17 | 20 | 21 | 17 | 17 | 13 |
| Total stude | 26 | 34 | 35 | 43 | 32 | 29 |
| Warroad |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 52.90\% | 50.00\% | 51.80\% | 67.70\% | 51.90\% | 87.90\% |
| Number mı | 36 | 35 | 44 | 42 | 41 | 51 |
| Total stude | 68 | 70 | 85 | 62 | 79 | 58 |
| Waseca |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 74.80\% | 81.00\% | 69.40\% | 70.50\% | 70.60\% | 50.40\% |
| Number mı | 80 | 94 | 68 | 86 | 84 | 63 |
| Total stude | 107 | 116 | 98 | 122 | 119 | 125 |

Watertown-Mayer

| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $53.00 \%$ | $61.90 \%$ | $69.40 \%$ | $74.50 \%$ | $60.40 \%$ | $45.60 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 61 | 78 | 75 | 82 | 64 | 62 |
| Total stude | 115 | 126 | 108 | 110 | 106 | 136 |
| Waterville-Elysian-Morristown |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $77.60 \%$ | $77.20 \%$ | $79.70 \%$ | $72.20 \%$ | $76.10 \%$ | $72.40 \%$ |
| Number mı | 38 | 44 | 59 | 52 | 51 | 42 |
| Total stude | 49 | 57 | 74 | 72 | 67 | 58 |

Waubun-Ogema-White Earth

| Percent m€ | $42.30 \%$ | $75.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 22 | 27 |
| Total stude | 52 | 36 |

$55.20 \%$
16
29
42.20\%

| $36.70 \%$ | $41.50 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 18 | 22 |
| 49 | 53 |

Wayzata

| Percent m€ | $81.10 \%$ | $76.00 \%$ | $76.00 \%$ | $81.80 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 682 | 626 | 565 | 677 |
| Total stude | 841 | 824 | 743 | 828 |
| West Central Area |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m€ | $43.50 \%$ | $71.60 \%$ | $74.60 \%$ | $72.20 \%$ |
| Number mı | 20 | 48 | 50 | 39 |
| Total stude | 46 | 67 | 67 | 54 |

West St. Paul-Mendota Heights-Eagan

| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $55.80 \%$ | 60 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 217 |  |
| Total stude | 389 |  |
| Westbrook-Walnut Grove |  |  |


| Percent m€ | $52.00 \%$ | $44.40 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 13 | 12 |
| Total stude | 25 | 27 |

Westonka

| Percent m€ | $81.10 \%$ | $73.60 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 142 | 128 |
| Total stude | 175 | 174 |

Wheaton Area

| Percent m€ | $65.60 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number mı | 21 |

74.10\%
$74.00 \%$
131
177
$82.30 \%$
149
72.60\% $\quad 74.20 \%$

118 159
57.10\%

20
35
White Bear Lake

| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $59.90 \%$ | $66.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 320 | 388 |
| Total stude | 534 | 588 |

Willmar

| Percent m€ | $47.60 \%$ | $43.00 \%$ | $45.60 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 146 | 132 | 135 |
| Total stude | 307 | 307 | 296 |

Willow River
Percent me 48.60\% 57.10\%
60.00\%
73.10\% 73.00\%
75.00\%

24


| Percent meeting or exceeding star |  |  | 80.00\% | 60.00\% | 67.50\% | 56.80\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number meeting or exceeding sta |  |  | 16 | 21 | 27 | 21 |
| Total students (for whom scores a |  |  | 20 | 35 | 40 | 37 |
| Aspen Academy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 67.70\% | 75.80\% | 83.60\% | 63.60\% | 66.20\% | 67.60\% |
| Number mı | 44 | 50 | 56 | 42 | 45 | 46 |
| Total stude | 65 | 66 | 67 | 66 | 68 | 68 |
| Athlos Academy of Saint Cloud |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade rear |  |  |  |  | 28.00\% | 23.10\% |
| Number meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade rea |  |  |  |  | 14 | 18 |
| Total students (for whom scores a Athlos Leadership Academy |  |  | reported) | 3rd grac | 50 | 78 |
|  |  |  | Athlos Leadership Academy |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 22.20\% | 33.30\% | 28.30\% | 37.80\% | 52.10\% | 51.80\% |
| Number mı | 6 | 6 | 30 | 45 | 61 | 57 |
| Total stude | 27 | 18 | 106 | 119 | 117 | 110 |
| Aurora Charter School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 14.70\% | 28.60\% | 20.00\% | 4.30\% | 9.40\% | 18.20\% |
| Number mı | 5 | 12 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 8 |
| Total stude | 34 | 42 | 40 | 46 | 53 | 44 |
| Austin Albert Lea Area Special Ed Coop |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade reaı N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade rea N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total students (for who |  |  | reported) | $3 r d$ grac | 1 | 0 |
| Bdote Learning Center |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent meeting or exceeding star |  |  | 12.50\% |  |  | 9.10\% |
| Number meeting or exceeding sta |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Total students (for whom scores a |  |  | 16 | 5 | 5 | 22 |
| Beacon Academy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 70.80\% | 75.00\% | 68.80\% | 70.50\% | 43.20\% | 56.20\% |
| Number mı | 34 | 36 | 33 | 31 | 16 | 41 |
| Total stude | 48 | 48 | 48 | 44 | 37 | 73 |

Benton-Stearns Education District
Percent meeting
Number meeting
Total students (f
Best Academy
Percent m€

| Percent m€ | $35.80 \%$ | $27.90 \%$ | $23.10 \%$ | $24.60 \%$ | $28.40 \%$ | $24.60 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 19 | 12 | 12 | 15 | 19 | 14 |
| Total stude | 53 | 43 | 52 | 61 | 67 | 57 |

Birch Grove Community School

| Percent $m \in$ N/A |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number mıN/A |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Total stude | 1 |  | 3 | 4 | 6 | 5 |

Total stude $\quad 1$
Bluffview Montessori

| Percent $m \epsilon$ | $76.00 \%$ | $76.00 \%$ | $68.20 \%$ | $73.10 \%$ | $85.70 \%$ | $76.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 19 | 19 | 15 | 19 | 18 | 19 |
| Total stude | 25 | 25 | 22 | 26 | 21 | 25 |

Bright Water Elementary

| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $63.20 \%$ | $65.00 \%$ | $63.60 \%$ | $47.40 \%$ | $36.40 \%$ | $26.90 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 12 | 13 | 14 | 18 | 12 | 7 |
| Total stude | 19 | 20 | 22 | 38 | 33 | 26 |
| Cannon River STEM School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $70.30 \%$ | $71.80 \%$ | $65.00 \%$ | $82.50 \%$ | $47.40 \%$ | $62.50 \%$ |
| Number mı | 26 | 28 | 26 | 33 | 18 | 25 |
| Total stude | 37 | 39 | 40 | 40 | 38 | 40 |

Cannon Valley Special Education Coop
Percent meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade reaı N/A N/A
Number meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade rea N/A N/A
Total students (for whom scores are reported) in 3rd grac
3
Carver-Scott Educational Coop.
Percent $m \in N / A$
Number mıN/A
Total stude 1
Cedar Riverside Community School

| Percent m€ | $44.40 \%$ | $12.50 \%$ | $33.30 \%$ | $26.30 \%$ | $38.10 \%$ | $11.80 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 8 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 2 |
| Total stude | 18 | 16 | 12 | 19 | 21 | 17 |

Clarkfield Charter School

| Percent $m €$ | $60.00 \% ~ N / A$ |  | $69.20 \%$ N/A | N/A |  | $91.70 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number $m ı$ | 6 N/A |  | 9 N/A | N/A |  | 11 |
| Total stude | 10 | 4 | 13 | 5 | 5 | 12 |

College Preparatory Elementary

| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $11.10 \%$ | $2.10 \%$ | $7.50 \%$ | $9.40 \%$ | $4.30 \%$ | $15.70 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 4 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 8 |
| Total stude | 36 | 48 | 53 | 53 | 46 | 51 |
| Cologne Academy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $66.70 \%$ | $67.90 \%$ | $82.10 \%$ | $76.70 \%$ | $75.30 \%$ | $58.40 \%$ |
| Number mı | 34 | 36 | 46 | 56 | 67 | 45 |
| Total stude | 51 | 53 | 56 | 73 | 89 | 77 |
| Community Of Peace Academy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $25.00 \%$ | $19.60 \%$ | $20.00 \%$ | $28.00 \%$ | $22.40 \%$ | $32.70 \%$ |
| Number mı | 12 | 10 | 10 | 14 | 11 | 17 |
| Total stude | 48 | 51 | 50 | 50 | 49 | 52 |

Community School Of Excellence

| Percent m€ | $11.60 \%$ | $22.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 11 | 29 |
| Total stude | 95 | 132 |

Cornerstone Montessori Elementary

| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $30.80 \%$ | $60.00 \%$ | $18.80 \%$ | $57.10 \%$ | $45.00 \%$ | $33.30 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 4 | 12 | 3 | 8 | 9 | 5 |
| Total stude | 13 | 20 | 16 | 14 | 20 | 15 |
| Crosslake Community Charter School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $52.40 \%$ | $58.80 \%$ | $36.40 \%$ | $50.00 \%$ | $45.50 \%$ | $60.00 \%$ |
| Number mı | 11 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 9 |

Total stude 21

| Percent $m \in$ N/A | N/A | N/A |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number mıN/A | N/A | N/A |
| Total stude 7 9 <br> Dakota Area Community Charter School   <br> Percent m N N A N/A N/A <br> Number mıN/A N/A N/A <br> Total stude 5 5$l$ |  |  |

DaVinci Academy

| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $74.50 \%$ | $82.60 \%$ | $75.00 \%$ | $83.30 \%$ | $70.60 \%$ | $72.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 35 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 36 | 59 |
| Total stude | 47 | 46 | 52 | 48 | 51 | 82 |

Discovery Charter School

| Percent meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade reaı | $57.10 \%$ | $51.70 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade rea | 20 | 15 |
| Total students (for whom scores are reported) in 3rd grac | 35 | 29 |

Discovery Woods

| Percent $m \epsilon$ | $33.30 \%$ | $69.20 \%$ | $54.50 \%$ | $50.00 \%$ | $47.40 \%$ | $33.30 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number $m_{1}$ | 5 | 9 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 4 |
| Total stude | 15 | 13 | 11 | 16 | 19 | 12 |

Dugsi Academy

| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $27.30 \%$ | $19.50 \%$ | $12.90 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $7.70 \%$ | $14.80 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 12 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| Total stude | 44 | 41 | 31 | 50 | 39 | 27 |
| Duluth Public Schools Academy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $75.30 \%$ | $61.30 \%$ | $62.60 \%$ | $65.80 \%$ | $53.80 \%$ | $56.10 \%$ |
| Number mı | 113 | 92 | 92 | 106 | 84 | 87 |
| Total stude | 150 | 150 | 147 | 161 | 156 | 155 |

E.C.H.O. Charter School

| Percent $m \in$ N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number m N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Total stude | 9 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 |

Eagle Ridge Academy Charter School

| Percent m€ | $83.80 \%$ | $78.30 \%$ | $79.70 \%$ | $82.60 \%$ | $68.40 \%$ | $76.90 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 57 | 54 | 55 | 57 | 78 | 103 |
| Total stude | 68 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 114 | 134 |

East Metro Integration District
Percent me 57.50\% 39.30\%
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Number mı } & 42\end{array}$
Total stude $73 \quad 61$
Emily O. Goodridge-Grey Accelerated
Percent me 9.10\%
Number mı 3
Total stude 33
Excell Academy Charter
Percent m $\quad 16.70 \% \quad 34.90 \% \quad 48.70 \% \quad 28.90 \% \quad 48.00 \% \quad 27.90 \%$

| Number mı | 8 | 15 | 19 | 13 | 24 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total stude | 48 | 43 | 39 | 45 | 50 | 43 |
| Fergus Falls Area Special Education Coop. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent men/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number miN/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total stude | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  |

FIT Academy
Percent meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade reading (MCA-I 50.00\%
Number meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade reading (MCA- 8
Total students (for whom scores are reported) in 3rd grade reading ( 16
Freedom Academy Charter School
Percent meeting or exceeding standards in $3 r \quad$ 23.10\%
Number meeting or exceeding standards in 3 r
3
Total students (for whom scores are reported 13
Freshwater Education District

| Percent $m \in$ N/A |  | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number miN/A |  | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Total stude | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |

Friendship Academy Of Fine Arts Charter

| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 45.00\% | 56.30\% | 90.90\% | 40.00\% | 60.00\% | 50.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number mı | 9 | 9 | 20 | 10 | 12 | 12 |
| Total stude | 20 | 16 | 22 | 25 | 20 | 24 |
| Glacial Hills Elementary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 78.90\% | 66.70\% | 65.00\% | 56.50\% | 50.00\% | 56.30\% |
| Number mı | 15 | 8 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 9 |
| Total stude | 19 | 12 | 20 | 23 | 26 | 16 |
| Global Academy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 42.30\% | 70.80\% | 45.80\% | 51.10\% | 57.40\% | 50.00\% |
| Number mı | 22 | 34 | 22 | 24 | 27 | 24 |
| Total stude | 52 | 48 | 48 | 47 | 47 | 48 |

Goodhue County Education District


Green Isle Community School

| Percent $m \epsilon$ | $30.00 \%$ | $46.20 \% ~ N / A$ | N/A | N/A |  | $30.80 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number $m_{1}$ | 3 | 6 N/A | N/A | N/A | 4 |  |
| Total stude | 10 | 13 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 13 |

Harvest Preparatory School

| Percent $m \epsilon$ | $37.10 \%$ | $58.00 \%$ | $22.00 \%$ | $29.50 \%$ | $38.50 \%$ | $42.60 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number $m 1$ | 23 | 29 | 11 | 13 | 20 | 20 |
| Total stude | 62 | 50 | 50 | 44 | 52 | 47 |

Hennepin Elementary School

| Percent meeting or exı | 45.70\% | 37.50\% | 38.10\% | 43.30\% | 49.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number meeting or ex | 16 | 12 | 24 | 26 | 24 |
| Total students (for whi | 35 | 32 | 63 | 60 | 49 |
| Hiawatha Academies |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m¢ 33.80\% | 35.10\% | 37.30\% | 59.70\% | 33.80\% | 20.90\% |
| Number mı 26 | 27 | 28 | 46 | 48 | 32 |
| Total stude 77 | 77 | 75 | 77 | 142 | 153 |
| Hiawatha Valley Education District |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent $m \in N / A$ | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Number mıN/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Total stude 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 3 |

Higher Ground Academy

| Percent $m \epsilon$ | $30.00 \%$ | $34.60 \%$ | $46.00 \%$ | $45.00 \%$ | $50.70 \%$ | $47.80 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 24 | 27 | 40 | 27 | 35 | 32 |
| Total stude | 80 | 78 | 87 | 60 | 69 | 67 |

Hmong College Prep Academy

| Percent $m \epsilon$ | $10.90 \%$ | $24.20 \%$ | $24.70 \%$ | $19.00 \%$ | $28.70 \%$ | $24.50 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number $m_{1}$ | 7 | 15 | 21 | 20 | 33 | 38 |
| Total stude | 64 | 62 | 85 | 105 | 115 | 155 |
| Hope Community Academy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $30.00 \%$ | $18.00 \%$ | $22.20 \%$ | $26.00 \%$ | $22.80 \%$ | $21.40 \%$ |
| Number mı | 15 | 11 | 12 | 19 | 13 | 15 |
| Total stude | 50 | 61 | 54 | 73 | 57 | 70 |

Intermediate District 287

| Percent $\mathrm{m} \in \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  | $0.00 \%$ | $18.80 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \% \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  | 0 | 3 | 0 | $0 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |
| Total stude | 8 | 10 | 16 | 11 | 13 | 1 | Intermediate District 917


| Percent $m \in N / A$ |  |  |  |  | A | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number miN/A | N/A |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0 |
| Total stude | 8 | 8 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 10 |
| International Spanish Language Academy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 50.00\% | 73.90\% | 57.80\% | 80.50\% | 67.40\% | 78.70\% |
| Number mı | 20 | 34 | 26 | 33 | 31 | 37 |
| Total stude | 40 | 46 | 45 | 41 | 46 | 47 |
| Kaleidoscope Charter School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 66.00\% | 62.90\% | 55.10\% | 43.70\% | 45.30\% | 55.40\% |
| Number mı | 35 | 44 | 38 | 31 | 29 | 31 |
| Total stude | 53 | 70 | 69 | 71 | 64 | 56 |

La Crescent Montessori \& STEM School

| Percent $m \in$ N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number $m \subset N / A$ | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Total stude | 1 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 7 |

Lafayette Public Charter School

| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $40.00 \%$ | $36.40 \%$ | $58.80 \%$ | $38.50 \% ~ N / A$ |  | $41.20 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 4 | 4 | 10 | 5 N/A |  | 7 |
| Total stude | 10 | 11 | 17 | 13 | 5 | 17 |
| Lakes International Language Academy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $53.30 \%$ | $39.80 \%$ | $47.90 \%$ | $54.50 \%$ | $53.60 \%$ | $47.90 \%$ |
| Number mı | 49 | 35 | 58 | 60 | 59 | 68 |
| Total stude | 92 | 88 | 121 | 110 | 110 | 142 |
| Learning For Leadership Charter |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $8.30 \%$ | $31.60 \%$ | $31.30 \%$ | $22.70 \%$ | $11.80 \%$ | $13.30 \%$ |
| Number mı | 1 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Total stude | 12 | 19 | 16 | 22 | 17 | 15 |

Level Up Academy

| Percent meeting or exceeding standards in 3r N/A |  |  |  |  | 45.50\% N/A |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number meeting or exceeding standards in 3r N/A |  |  |  |  | $5 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |
| Total students (for whom scores are reported |  |  |  | 7 | 11 | 7 |
| LIFE Prep |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 39.50\% | 39.60\% | 42.00\% | 35.50\% | 28.60\% | 23.70\% |
| Number mı | 15 | 19 | 21 | 22 | 16 | 9 |
| Total stude | 38 | 48 | 50 | 62 | 56 | 38 |

Loveworks Academy For Arts

| Percent $m \epsilon$ | $19.40 \%$ | $15.20 \%$ | $22.70 \%$ | $15.00 \%$ | $8.70 \%$ | $7.10 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 6 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Total stude | 31 | 33 | 22 | 20 | 23 | 14 |

Marine Area Community School
Percent meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade reading (MCA-I 67.60\%
Number meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade reading (MCA- 23
Total students (for whom scores are reported) in 3rd grade reading ( 34
Mastery School

| Percent meeting or exı | $35.40 \%$ | $20.90 \%$ | $11.60 \%$ | $46.90 \%$ | $33.30 \%$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number meeting or ex | 17 | 9 | 5 | 15 | 13 |  |
| Total students (for whı | 48 | 43 | 43 | 32 | 39 |  |
| Meeker and Wright Special Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent meN/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Number mıN/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Total stude | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 |

Metro Deaf School

| Percent $m \in$ N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number mıN/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Total stude | 2 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 7 | 3 |
| Mid State Education District |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent $m \in$ N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Number mıN/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Total stude | 2 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 3 |

Milroy Area Charter School
Percent $m \in N / A$
50.00\% N/A
6.70\% N/A
N/A
Number mıN/A
5 N/A
1 N/A
N/A

Minisinaakwaang Leadership Academy

| Percent m $\in$ N/A | N/A | N/A |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number mıN/A | N/A | N/A |
| Total stude | 3 | 3 |
| Minnesota Excellence in Learning Academy |  |  |


| Percent meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade reaı | $13.30 \%$ | $26.70 \%$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade rea | 4 | 8 |  |  |
| Total students (for whom scores are reported) in 3rd grac | 30 | 30 |  |  |
| Minnesota Math and Science Academy |  |  |  |  |
| Percent meeting or exceeding star | $0.00 \%$ | $26.20 \%$ | $19.10 \%$ | $14.30 \%$ |
| Number meeting or exceeding sta | 0 | 11 | 9 | 7 |
| Total students (for whom scores a | 31 | 42 | 47 | 49 |

Minnesota New Country School
Percent meeting or exıN/A
Number meeting or ex N/A
Total students (for whi
Minnesota School of Science
Percent m€ 18.20\%
Number mı 6
Total stude 33
Minnesota State Academies

| Percent $m \in N / A$ | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number mıN/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Total stude | 5 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 0 |

Minnesota Transitions Charter School

| Percent m€ | $37.60 \%$ | $39.10 \%$ | $38.10 \%$ | $33.90 \%$ | $35.60 \%$ | $30.20 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 50 | 50 | 43 | 40 | 42 | 38 |
| Total stude | 133 | 128 | 113 | 118 | 118 | 126 |

Minnesota Valley Education District

| Percent $m \in$ N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mıN/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Total stude | 4 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Nasha Shkola Charter School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $38.50 \%$ | $21.40 \%$ | $20.00 \%$ | $26.30 \%$ | $50.00 \%$ | $45.00 \%$ |
| Number mı | 5 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 9 |
| Total stude | 13 | 14 | 15 | 19 | 12 | 20 |

Natural Science Academy

| Percent m N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | $41.70 \%$ | $46.20 \%$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mıN/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  | 5 | 6 |
| Total stude | 4 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 13 |
| Naytahwaush Community School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $47.10 \%$ | $23.10 \%$ | $12.50 \%$ | $63.60 \%$ | $36.40 \%$ | $27.30 \%$ |
| Number mı | 8 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| Total stude | 17 | 13 | 16 | 11 | 11 | 11 |

Nerstrand Charter School
Percent m€ 66.70\% $72.00 \% \quad 53.80 \% \quad 51.90 \% \quad 48.00 \% \quad 57.70 \%$

| Number mı | 18 | 18 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total stude | 27 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 25 | 26 |
| New Century School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade rear |  |  |  |  | 23.10\% | 41.70\% |
| Number meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade rea |  |  |  |  | 3 | 15 |
| Total students (for whom scores are reported) in 3rd grac |  |  |  |  | 13 | 36 |
| New City School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 55.00\% |  | 72.40\% | 75.00\% | 57.90\% | 64.90\% |
| Number mı | 11 |  | 21 | 18 | 22 | 24 |
| Total stude | 20 |  | 29 | 24 | 38 | 37 |
| New Discoveries Montessori Academy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 46.20\% | 47.80\% | 66.70\% | 51.60\% | 37.50\% | 37.50\% |
| Number mı | 12 | 11 | 12 | 16 | 6 | 12 |
| Total stude | 26 | 23 | 18 | 31 | 16 | 32 |
| New Heights School, Inc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent $m \in N / A$ |  | /A | /A |  |  |  |
| Number miN/A |  | /A | /A |  |  |  |
| Total stude | 5 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 4 |

New Millennium Academy Charter School

| Percent $m \epsilon$ | $15.70 \%$ | $8.20 \%$ | $29.00 \%$ | $20.50 \%$ | $18.10 \%$ | $13.30 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number $m_{1}$ | 8 | 5 | 18 | 16 | 15 | 11 |
| Total stude | 51 | 61 | 62 | 78 | 83 | 83 |
| Noble Academy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent $m \epsilon$ | $34.00 \%$ | $35.70 \%$ | $47.70 \%$ | $34.90 \%$ | $35.90 \%$ | $61.80 \%$ |
| Number mı | 18 | 20 | 21 | 29 | 37 | 68 |
| Total stude | 53 | 56 | 44 | 83 | 103 | 110 |
| North Metro Flex Academy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade reaı | $46.40 \%$ | $34.80 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Number meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade rea | 13 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Total students (for whom scores are reported) in 3rd grac | 28 | 23 |  |  |  |  |

North Shore Community School

| Percent $m \epsilon$ | $67.40 \%$ | $51.00 \%$ | $72.90 \%$ | $74.50 \%$ | $56.60 \%$ | $56.60 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number $m_{1}$ | 31 | 26 | 35 | 35 | 30 | 30 |
| Total stude | 46 | 51 | 48 | 47 | 53 | 53 |

Northeast College Prep

| Percent meeting or exceeding star | 28.10\% | 24.00\% | 34.80\% | 26.70\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number meeting or exceeding sta | 9 | 6 | 16 | 12 |
| Total students (for whom scores a | 32 | 25 | 46 | 45 |
| Northeast Metro 916 |  |  |  |  |
| Percent $m \in N / A \quad N / A$ | 13.30\% | 9.10\% | 10.50\% | 20.00\% |
| Number miN/A N/A | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Total stude 8 | 15 | 11 | 19 | 20 |
| Northern Lights Academy Cooperative |  |  |  |  |
| Percent meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade reading (MCA-I N/A |  |  |  |  |
| Number meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade reading (MCA- N/A |  |  |  |  |
| Total students (for whom scores are reported) in 3rd grade reading ( |  |  |  |  |
| Northland Learning Center |  |  |  |  |


| Percent $m \in N /$ | A N/A |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number mıN/ |  | /A | /A | /A | /A | /A |
| Total stude | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 |
| Nova Classical Academy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 74.00\% | 83.30\% | 91.00\% | 74.40\% | 69.20\% | 67.90\% |
| Number mı | 57 | 65 | 71 | 58 | 54 | 53 |
| Total stude | 77 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| Odyssey Academy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 22.20\% | 25.60\% | 27.90\% | 22.90\% | 23.10\% |  |
| Number mı | 6 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 9 |  |
| Total stude | 27 | 43 | 43 | 48 | 39 |  |
| Oshki Ogimaag Charter School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent $m \in N /$ |  | /A | /A | /A | /A | /A |
| Number miN/ |  | /A | /A | /A | /A | /A |
| Total stude | 5 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 5 |
| Pact Charter School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 66.70\% | 54.00\% | 63.50\% | 54.90\% | 65.40\% | 53.10\% |
| Number mı | 32 | 27 | 33 | 28 | 34 | 26 |
| Total stude | 48 | 50 | 52 | 51 | 52 | 49 |
| Paideia Academy Charter School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 40.90\% | 54.30\% | 48.60\% | 46.90\% |  |  |
| Number mı | 18 | 25 | 18 | 15 |  |  |
| Total stude | 44 | 46 | 37 | 32 |  |  |
| Parnassus Preparatory Charter School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent me | 73.90\% | 63.50\% | 70.40\% | 74.10\% | 58.90\% | 50.90\% |
| Number mı | 65 | 54 | 57 | 86 | 73 | 58 |
| Total stude | 88 | 85 | 81 | 116 | 124 | 114 |
| Partnership Academy, Inc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 16.70\% | 19.60\% | 13.50\% | 20.00\% | 14.00\% | 10.50\% |
| Number mı | 7 | 10 | 7 | 10 | 6 | 4 |
| Total stude | 42 | 51 | 52 | 50 | 43 | 38 |
| Prairie Creek Community School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 67.70\% | 56.70\% | 63.30\% | 75.90\% | 55.20\% | 66.70\% |
| Number mı | 21 | 17 | 19 | 22 | 16 | 20 |
| Total stude | 31 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 29 | 30 |
| Prairie Seeds Academy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | 7.30\% | 7.30\% | 16.10\% | 32.70\% | 16.40\% | 23.90\% |
| Number mı | 4 | 4 | 9 | 17 | 11 | 11 |
| Total stude | 55 | 55 | 56 | 52 | 67 | 46 |
| Prodeo Academy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade rea। |  |  |  |  | 37.10\% | 22.50\% |
| Number meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade rea |  |  |  |  | 26 | 18 |
| Total students (for whom scores are reported) in 3rd grac |  |  |  |  | 70 | 80 |

Region 6 \& 8-S.W/W.C. Service Coop.

| Percent $m \in$ N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number $m \subset N / A$ | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Total stude | 5 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 9 |

Ridgeway Community School

| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $58.80 \%$ | $53.80 \%$ | $55.60 \%$ | $52.60 \%$ | $55.60 \%$ | $33.30 \%$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 10 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 4 |  |
| Total stude | 17 | 13 |  | 18 | 19 | 18 | 12 |
| River Bend Education District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\operatorname{N} / A$ | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |  |
| Number mıN/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |  |
| Total stude | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 |  |

Riverway Learning Community Charter

| Percent $m \in$ N/A | N/A | N/A |  | $36.40 \% ~ N / A$ | N/A |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mıN/A | N/A | N/A |  | 4 N/A | N/A |  |
| Total stude | 4 | 8 | 5 | 11 | 8 | 8 |

Rochester Math And Science Academy

| Percent m€ | $32.40 \%$ | $10.00 \%$ | $16.70 \%$ | $29.00 \%$ | $12.90 \%$ | $15.80 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 11 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 4 | 6 |
| Total stude | 34 | 30 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 38 |

Rum River Special Education Coop.

| Percent m $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | N/A | N/A |  | $0.00 \%$ | N/A | N/A |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mıN/A | N/A | N/A |  | 0 | N/A | N/A |  |
| Total stude | 3 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 7 | 5 |  |
| Saint Cloud Math and Science Academy Charter School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent meeting or exceeding star | $0.00 \%$ | $12.50 \%$ | $11.10 \%$ | $15.80 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Number meeting or exceeding sta | 0 | 2 | 3 | 6 |  |  |  |
| Total students (for whom scores a | 23 | 16 | 27 | 38 |  |  |  |

Schoolcraft Learning Community Charter

| Percent m€ | $47.40 \%$ | $52.40 \%$ | $47.20 \%$ | $50.00 \%$ | $40.00 \%$ | $42.90 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 9 | 11 | 17 | 9 | 8 | 9 |
| Total stude | 19 | 21 | 36 | 18 | 20 | 21 |

Sejong Academy of Minnesota

| Percent meeting or exceeding star | $27.30 \%$ | $38.50 \%$ | $30.00 \%$ | $21.10 \%$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number meeting or exceeding sta | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 |  |
| Total students (for whom scores a | 11 | 13 | 10 | 19 |  |
| Seven Hills Preparatory Academy |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $92.40 \%$ | $78.70 \%$ | $83.10 \%$ | $85.40 \%$ | $86.00 \%$ |
| Number mı | 61 | 59 | 59 | 76 | 74 |
| Total stude | 66 | 75 | 71 | 89 | 86 |

Sherburne and Northern Wright Special Education Coop.
Percent meeting or exceeding standards in $3 r$ N/A
N/A
Number meeting or exceeding standards in 3r N/A
Total students (for whom scores are reported
1
1
Sojourner Truth Academy

| Percent m€ | $27.10 \%$ | $10.90 \%$ | $2.20 \%$ | $9.50 \%$ | $7.10 \%$ | $11.40 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 13 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 5 |
| Total stude | 48 | 46 | 45 | 42 | 42 | 44 |

Southern MN Education Consortium
Percent meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade rear N/A N/A
Number meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade rea N/A N/A

Total students (for whom scores are reported) in 3rd grac
Southern Plains Education Coop.

| Percent m $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mıN/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Total stude | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Southside Family Charter School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $61.50 \%$ | $63.60 \%$ | $78.60 \%$ | $69.20 \%$ | $76.90 \%$ | $41.70 \%$ |
| Number mı | 8 | 7 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 5 |
| Total stude | 13 | 11 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 12 |

Southwest Metro Educational Coop.

| Percent meeting or exıN/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number meeting or ex N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Total students (for whı | 6 | 1 | 6 |

Southwest Metro Intermediate 288

Percent meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade reaıN/A N/A
Number meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade rea N/A N/A
Total students (for whom scores are reported) in 3rd grac 5
6

Spero Academy

| Percent $m \epsilon$ | $27.30 \%$ N/A | N/A |  | $10.00 \%$ N/A | $50.00 \%$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number $m ı$ | 3 N/A | N/A |  | 1 N/A | 6 |  |
| Total stude | 11 | 8 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 12 |

St. Croix Preparatory Academy

| Percent m€ | $78.90 \%$ | $79.80 \%$ | $77.50 \%$ | $81.80 \%$ | $85.40 \%$ | $86.70 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 71 | 71 | 69 | 72 | 76 | 78 |
| Total stude | 90 | 89 | 89 | 88 | 89 | 90 |

St. Paul City School

| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $13.30 \%$ | $9.80 \%$ | $27.70 \%$ | $24.10 \%$ | $10.00 \%$ | $38.50 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 6 | 4 | 13 | 13 | 5 | 20 |
| Total stude | 45 | 41 | 47 | 54 | 50 | 52 |

Star of the North Academy Charter School

| Percent meeting or exceeding star | $82.40 \%$ | $56.30 \%$ | $47.40 \%$ | $56.50 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number meeting or exceeding sta | 14 | 9 | 9 | 13 |
| Total students (for whom scores a | 17 | 16 | 19 | 23 |

STEP Academy Charter School
Percent meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade reading (MCA-I
39.10\%

Number meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade reading (MCA-
Total students (for whom scores are reported) in 3rd grade reading ( 23
Stonebridge World School

| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $10.00 \%$ | $22.20 \%$ | $7.10 \%$ | $9.50 \%$ | $18.90 \%$ | $17.20 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 3 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 5 |
| Total stude | 30 | 36 | 42 | 42 | 37 | 29 |
| Stride Academy Charter School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $45.90 \%$ | $77.00 \%$ | $62.90 \%$ | $30.30 \%$ | $41.90 \%$ | $39.10 \%$ |
| Number mı | 28 | 47 | 39 | 27 | 31 | 18 |
| Total stude | 61 | 61 | 62 | 89 | 74 | 46 |

Success Academy
Percent meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade reaı $\quad 10.00 \% \quad 18.20 \%$
Number meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade rea
Total students (for whom scores are reported) in 3rd grac
T.R.U.T.H. Preparatory Academy Charter School

Percent meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade reaı
Number meeting or exceeding standards in 3rd grade rea
Total students (for whom scores are reported) in 3rd grac Team Academy

| Percent mє | $42.10 \%$ | $52.90 \%$ | $28.60 \%$ | $33.30 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 8 | 9 | 4 | 8 |
| Total stude | 19 | 17 | 14 | 24 |

Total stude 19
Percent meeting or exceeding standards in $3 r$
Number meeting or exceeding standards in $3 r$
Total students (for whom scores are reported
Twin Cities German Immersion Charter

| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $72.30 \%$ | $81.00 \%$ | $77.30 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 34 | 34 | 34 |
| Total stude | 47 | 42 | 44 |

Twin Cities International Elementary School

| Percent mє | $18.50 \%$ | $18.00 \%$ | $23.80 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 22 | 22 | 29 |
| Total stude | 119 | 122 | 122 |

Universal Academy Charter School

| Percent meeting or exceeding star | $19.00 \%$ | $24.00 \%$ | $29.30 \%$ | $43.20 \%$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number meeting or exceeding sta | 4 | 6 | 12 | 16 |  |
| Total students (for whom scores a | 21 | 25 | 41 | 37 |  |
| Urban Academy Charter School |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent m $\epsilon$ | $13.30 \%$ | $20.50 \%$ | $33.30 \%$ | $35.70 \%$ | $7.90 \%$ |
| Number mı | 4 | 9 | 13 | 10 | $35.70 \%$ |
| Total stude | 30 | 44 | 39 | 28 | 38 |

Valley Crossing Community School

| Percent m€ | $62.20 \%$ | $79.20 \%$ | $61.80 \%$ | $61.30 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 69 | 80 | 68 | 57 |
| Total stude | 111 | 101 | 110 | 93 |

West Central Education District Percent meeting or exıN/A
N/A N/A

Number meeting or ex N/A N/A

N/A
N/A
5

Total students (for whi
1 2
34.40\%
40.80\%
67.10\%

47 70

## 2 <br> 19

| $73.90 \%$ | $57.60 \%$ | $67.10 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 51 | 38 | 47 |
| 69 | 66 | 70 |


| $34.40 \%$ | $40.80 \%$ | $41.80 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 42 | 51 | 51 | 125

$$
\jmath
$$

| Percent $m \epsilon$ | $61.40 \%$ | $60.80 \%$ | $63.50 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number $m_{1}$ | 27 | 31 | 33 |
| Total stude | 44 | 51 | 52 |

West Side Summit Charter School

| Percent meeting or exı | $30.80 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number meeting or ex | 4 |
| Total students (for whı | 13 |

Woodbury Leadership Academy

| Percent meeting or exceeding star | $75.90 \%$ | $65.90 \%$ | $74.20 \%$ | $67.40 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number meeting or exceeding sta | 22 | 29 | 23 | 29 |
| Total students (for whom scores a | 29 | 44 | 31 | 43 |

Woodson Institute For Excellence Charter

| Percent $m \epsilon$ | $2.90 \%$ | $12.00 \%$ | $21.70 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| Total stude | 35 | 25 | 23 |

World Learner Charter School

| Percent mє | $69.00 \%$ | $61.50 \%$ | $71.40 \%$ | $66.70 \%$ | $66.70 \%$ | $52.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 20 | 16 | 15 | 18 | 18 | 13 |
| Total stude | 29 | 26 | 21 | 27 | 27 | 25 |
| Yinghua Academy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent mє | $75.00 \%$ | $68.70 \%$ | $69.70 \%$ | $74.30 \%$ | $59.20 \%$ | $54.70 \%$ |
| Number mı | 51 | 46 | 62 | 75 | 61 | 70 |
| Total stude | 68 | 67 | 89 | 101 | 103 | 128 |

Zumbro Education District
Percent meeting or exıN/A
Number meeting or ex N/A
Total students (for whi
1
State
Minnesota

| Percent m€ | $57.20 \%$ | $58.10 \%$ | $58.70 \%$ | $57.30 \%$ | $56.50 \%$ | $55.70 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number mı | 35,670 | 36,186 | 37,342 | 37,315 | 36,839 | 35,788 |
| Total stude | 62,389 | 62,278 | 63,583 | 65,139 | 65,149 | 64,271 |

## Notes:

The Minnesota Comprehensive Assessment (MCA), the MCA-Modified, and the Minnesota Test of Acad state tests that help districts measure student progress toward Minnesota's Academic Standards, the st of what students should know in each grade. These assessments meet the requirements of the Element Education Act (ESEA); mathematics and reading assessments are also used in federal school accountabi

The percentage meeting or exceeding standards indicates the share of students achieving the expectati standards for their grade level. Students take one test in reading each year in grades 3-8 and in grade 1( students take the MCA, but students who receive special education services and meet eligibility require the MCA-Modified or the MTAS.

MCA exams are administered during the spring, so the data year represents the second year of an acadı for example, 2018 data represent the 2017-2018 school year.

Data are for public school districts only (primary, charter, and special districts). Private schools are not i

Students took the reading MCA-II until the 2011-2012 academic year, and have taken the reading MCA-2012-2013 academic year. MCA-III test specifications are aligned to the 2010 Minnesota Academic Star

Some students' scores were suppressed to protect privacy. Only reported scores were used to calculate here.

Minnesota Department of Education suppresses data for districts with fewer than ten students tested. represented with an N/A in the table above.

Sources:
Minnesota Department of Education. http://w20.education.state.mn.us/MDEAnalytics/Data.jsp
Data downloaded from https://www.mncompass.org on 06/24/2019.
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